arXiv:1703.06974v1 [math.NT] 20 Mar 2017

A FINER TATE DUALITY THEOREM FOR LOCAL GALOIS SYMBOLS

EVANGELIA GAZAKI

Abstract

Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let A, B be abelian varieties over K of good reduction. For any integer $m \geq 1$, we consider the Galois symbol $K(K;A,B)/m \to H^2(K, A[m] \otimes B[m])$, where $K(K;A,B)$ is the Somekawa K-group attached to A, B. This map is a generalization of the Galois symbol $K_2^M(K)/m \to H^2(K, \mu_m^{\otimes 2})$ of the Bloch-Kato conjecture, where $K_2^M(K)$ is the Milnor K-group of K. In this paper we give a geometric description of the image of this generalized Galois symbol by looking at the Tate duality pairing $H^2(K, A[m] \otimes B[m]) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(A[m], B^\star[m]) \to \mathbb{Z}/m$, where $B^\star$ is the dual abelian variety of B. Under this perfect pairing we compute the exact annihilator of the image of the Galois symbol in terms of an object of integral p-adic Hodge theory.

1. Introduction

The main goal of this article is to generalize a classical result of J. Tate about local Tate duality. We start by reviewing this classical result.

1.1. **Finer Tate duality for H^1.** Let K be a finite extension of the p-adic field $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with Galois group $G_K := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$. Local Tate duality tells us that for a finite continuous G_K-module M annihilated by a positive integer m, there is a canonical perfect pairing of finite abelian groups

$$H^i(K, M) \times H^{2-i}(K, M^\star) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z},$$

where by $H^i(K, -)$ we denote the Galois cohomology of K, and $M^\star = \mathrm{Hom}(M, \mu_m)$.

In the special case when $M = A[m]$ is the Galois module of the m-torsion points of an abelian variety A over K, Tate has a finer result, which we recall here. The short exact sequence of G_K-modules, $0 \longrightarrow A[m] \longrightarrow A(\overline{K}) \xrightarrow{m} A(\overline{K}) \to 0$, known as the Kummer sequence of A, induces a connecting homomorphism $A(K) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(K, A[m])$. The Weil pairing gives an isomorphism $(A[m])^\star \simeq A^\star[m]$, where $A^\star$ is the dual abelian variety of A. The local Tate duality pairing for H^1 therefore takes on the form $H^1(K, A[m]) \times H^1(K, A^\star[m]) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m$. Tate proved that under this perfect pairing the orthogonal complement of $\mathrm{Im}(A(K) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(K, A[m]))$ is the corresponding part, $\mathrm{Im}(A^\star(K) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(K, A^\star[m]))$ that comes from the points.

We will refer to this classical result as the finer local Tate duality for H^1. The main goal of this paper is to describe a finer local Tate duality for H^2.

1.2. **Set up and Statement of the main theorem.** Let A, B be abelian varieties over K. For any finite extension L over K and for any integer $m \geq 1$, the Kummer sequences on A, B and the cup product induce a map

$$A(L) \otimes B(L) \longrightarrow H^2(L, A[m] \otimes B[m]) \longrightarrow H^2(K, A[m] \otimes B[m]),$$

where the second map is the Corestriction map of Galois cohomology. It is well known ([Som90]) that this map factors through a certain K-group, namely the Somekawa K-group $K(K;A,B)$ attached to the abelian varieties A, B, giving rise to a Galois symbol map

$$s_m : K(K;A,B)/m \longrightarrow H^2(K, A[m] \otimes B[m]).$$

The image of this Galois symbol is the part of $H^2(K, A[m] \otimes B[m])$ that comes from the points of the abelian varieties and this is the part we want to understand. Inspired by the work of Tate for H^1, our approach is to look at the Tate duality pairing and determine the orthogonal complement of the image of s_m. The pairing for H^2 takes on the form

$$(\star): \ H^2(K, A[m] \otimes B[m]) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(A[m], B^\star[m]) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m,$$

where $B^\star$ is the dual abelian variety of B. The main theorem of this article is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *(Finer Tate duality for H^2) Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, where $p > 3$. Let A, B be abelian varieties over K with good reduction. Let $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}^\star$ be the Néron models of A and $B^\star$ respectively. Under the Tate duality pairing, the orthogonal complement of* $\mathrm{Im}(K(K;A,B) \to H^2(K, A[m] \otimes B[m]))$ *is the group $\mathcal{H}om_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[m], \mathcal{B}^\star[m])$ of continuous homomorphisms of finite flat group schemes over the ring of integers, $\mathcal{O}_K$, of K.*

Similarly to the classical case of H^1, it is not hard to see that the two subgroups of theorem 1.1 annihilate each other. Namely, this comes down to the fact that $Br(\mathcal{O}_K) = 0$. Moreover, when m is coprime to p the proof follows easily by a computation of Raskind and Spiess ([RS00]) and it is unconditional on the prime p. To show that the two subgroups are exact annihilators of each other when $m = p^n$ is much harder and this will occupy most of the sections of this article. Our method involves computations with integral crystalline cohomology groups in the sense of Faltings ([Fal99]). Unfortunately this imposes the limitation $p > 3$. We believe that the statement of theorem 1.1 should be true for every prime p. In fact, in the last section we discuss some specific examples where one can give an alternative proof of theorem 1.1 that holds without any limitation on p.

Relations to Milnor K-theory and the motivic Bloch-Kato conjecture. The K-group $K(K;A,B)$ is a generalization of the Milnor K-group $K_2^M(K)$. This is why people traditionally describe the elements of $K(K;A,B)$ as symbols $\{a,b\}$, where a, b are points of the abelian varieties A and B respectively. This group, similarly to the realization of $K_2^M(K)$ as motivic cohomology, is expected to have a motivic interpretation[1] and has significant arithmetic and geometric applications, as we will see in the next subsection.

More generally, for semi-abelian varieties $G_1, \cdots, G_r$ (this means extensions of abelian varieties by torii) over a field k, Somekawa ([Som90]), following a suggestion of K. Kato, defined a K-group $K(k; G_1, \cdots, G_r)$, which in the special case when $G_i = \mathbb{G}_m$ for all i, is isomorphic to the Milnor K-group $K_r^M(k)$.

For abelian varieties A, B, the Galois symbol $K(K;A,B)/m \xrightarrow{s_m} H^2(K, A[m] \otimes B[m])$ discussed above is a generalization of the classical Galois symbol of the Bloch-Kato conjecture,

$$K_2^M(K)/m \to H^2(K, \mu_m^{\otimes 2}).$$

The latter is always an isomorphism due to the Merkurjev-Suslin theorem ([MS82]) (which is in fact true for any base field K and any integer m invertible in K). The generalization to abelian varieties on the other hand is far from being surjective, at least in this p-adic setting.

1.3. **History of local Galois symbols.** For a p-adic field K, the local Galois symbols of the form $K(K; G_1, G_2)/m \xrightarrow{s_m} H^2(K, G_1[m] \otimes G_2[m])$, where G_1, G_2 are semi-abelian varieties, carry a lot of information and have been studied by numerous people in different frameworks. To explain the philosophy, the group $K(K; G_1, G_2)$ is a quotient of $\bigoplus_{L \supset K} G_1(L) \otimes G_2(L)$ (where the sum extends through all finite extensions L over K) by two geometric relations. It is therefore an object of geometric interest that has been related to algebraic cycles. Via the Galois symbol, $K(K; G_1, G_2)$ relates to a better understood algebraic object. In particular, the maps s_m can be realized as special cases of cycle maps to étale cohomology. In the philosophy of the Tate conjecture, it is an interesting question to see what classes in Galois cohomology arise from the image of the Galois symbol.

Class Field Theory. The Galois symbols have been used in problems of both algebraic and geometric class field theory. K. Kato ([Kat80]) proved the local case of the Merkurjev-Suslin theorem, in order to prove class field theory for higher dimensional local fields. A more geometric example is given in [Blo81], where S. Bloch used the mixed K-group $K(K; J, \mathbb{G}_m)$, where J is the Jacobian variety of a smooth complete curve over a p-adic field K, in order to prove class field theory of arithmetic surfaces. An essential step of his proof was to show surjectivity of

[1] In fact it has been shown ([KY13]) that $K(K;A,B) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{eff}}(\mathbb{Z}, A[0] \otimes B[0])$.

the corresponding local Galois symbol, $K(K; J, \mathbb{G}_m) \to H^2(K, T_p J(1))$, in the case when J has good reduction.

In general, understanding the map s_{p^n} comes down to understanding how the Hilbert symbol of local class field theory behaves under the filtration of the units of the multiplicative group $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$. We like to think of these problems as explicit reciprocity laws.

Zero cycles. The case of interest to us in this paper is the group $K(K; A, B)$, where A, B are abelian varieties. This group has interesting applications to the theory of zero cycles. For a smooth projective variety X over K, the group $CH_0(X)$ of zero cycles modulo rational equivalence has a filtration $CH_0(X) \supset A_0(X) \supset T(X)$. When X has a K-rational point, the first quotient of the filtration is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$, while the second is isomorphic to the K-rational points of an abelian variety. The group $T(X)$ is called the Albanese kernel of X and it is the most wild part of the Chow group, over which we do not have enough control. The group $K(K; A, B)$ has been related to the Albanese kernel of certain varieties by Raskind and Spiess ([RS00]) and by the current author ([Gaz15]). The simplest example is that of a product of two elliptic curves E_1, E_2 over K. In this case the group $K(K; E_1, E_2)$ turns out to be isomorphic to the Albanese kernel, $T(E_1 \times E_2)$, and the Galois symbol s_m coincides with the cycle map to étale cohomology $CH_0(E_1 \times E_2)/m \longrightarrow H^4((E_1 \times E_2)_{et}, \mu_m^{\otimes 2})$, when the latter is restricted to $T(E_1 \times E_2)$.

The map $K(K; A, B) \xrightarrow{s_m} H^2(K, A[m] \otimes B[m])$ has been previously studied by numerous people, some examples including [RS00], [Yam05], [MR09], [HH13], [Hir12]. Understanding the image of s_m when $m = p^n$ is of particular difficulty and still very little is known beyond the world of elliptic curves. The map s_{p^n} often vanishes for fields K that are not very ramified over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. On the other hand, when K is large enough, non trivial classes in $H^2(K, A[p^n] \otimes B[p^n])$ arising from symbols have been detected. J. Murre and D. Ramakrishnan ([MR09]) gave the first such computation. They showed that for a single elliptic curve E with good ordinary reduction and K large enough so that $E[p] \subset E(K)$, the map $K(K; E, E)/p \to H^2(K, E[p]^{\otimes 2})$ has image of rank 1. Their work has been since generalized to more reduction types, including the split multiplicative reduction case by Hiranouchi and Hirayama ([HH13]). Both these articles required long and deep computations, which unfortunately neither exhaust all cases, nor seem to generalize to higher dimensions.

1.4. **Advantage of our approach.** Theorem 1.1 is a first effort to understand and unify all the different cases of good reduction in one single theorem. Namely, all the previously known cases of good reduction can now be thought of as special cases of 1.1. For example, the computation of Murre and Ramakrishnan ([MR09]) for the self product of an ordinary elliptic curve E, seen from our perspective, comes down to the fact that there are no non-trivial homomorphisms of finite flat group schemes over $\mathcal{O}_K$ from μ_p to $\mathbb{Z}/p$. At the same time theorem 1.1 allows us to go far beyond the one dimensional case.

Moreover, theorem 1.1 gives a suggestion that a more general geometric picture exists that can explain all the different local phenomena that arise. This result stems from an idea of K. Kato. In fact, Kato expects that a very general duality theorem should exist about the image of $K(K; G_1, G_2)/m \to H^2(K, G_1[m] \otimes G_2[m])$, where G_1, G_2 are semi-abelian. Such a theorem should contain our theorem 1.1 and the local Bloch-Kato conjecture as the two edge cases. In this article we start with the good reduction case, and we hope in a forthcoming paper to consider the next step, that of abelian varieties of semistable reduction.

One more advantage of theorem 1.1 is that it gives a precise picture of what happens when we pass to the limit for the subgroups of finite index. In particular, we get a pairing

$$\varprojlim H^2(K, A[m] \otimes B[m]) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(T(A), T(B^\star)) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

where $T(A), T(B)$ are the total Tate modules of A, B respectively. Theorem 1.1 together with the classical result of Tate on p-divisible groups ([Tat67]), gives us that the image of the symbol $K(K; A, B) \to \varprojlim H^2(K, A[m] \otimes B[m])$ lies on the left kernel of this pairing, and in particular

it is p-torsion. We hope that understanding the limit behavior will have applications to the study of zero cycles over number fields.

Finally, our theorem introduces a new approach, relating the study of the generalized Galois symbol to that of a very interesting object from the view of p-adic Hodge theory, namely $\mathcal{H}om_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[m], \mathcal{B}^\star[m]))$. In addition to the work of Tate on H^1, another inspiration for our approach comes from the work of Kato in [Kat91], where he relates the study of the classical Galois symbol $K_2^M(K)/p^n \to H^2(K, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2})$ to the work of Fontaine-Messing ([FM87]).

1.5. **Outline of the paper and main results.** After reviewing some basic facts about local Tate duality in section 2, we give some first indications towards theorem 1.1, including proving the theorem for integers m that are coprime to p. In the rest of the article we focus on proving the theorem for $m = p^n$.

The classical approach to the study of local Galois symbols is that of explicit computations of Hilbert symbols. Our approach in this article is different. Our main tool for the proof is the interplay between zero cycles and the K-group $K(K; A, B)$. In particular, the key is a result of S. Saito and K. Sato ([SS14]) about zero cycles and Brauer groups. Their computation allows us to relate the orthogonal complement of the Galois symbol to a p-adic Hodge theoretic object, namely to a syntomic cohomology group.

In section 3 we focus on the case of a single abelian variety A over K. We consider the Albanese kernel $T(A)$ of A. It is well known that the cycle map to étale cohomology when restricted to $T(A)$ maps to $H^2(K, \bigwedge^2 A[p^n])$. Moreover, this map has been related to a Galois symbol by the current author ([Gaz15]). Using the main results of [Gaz15] and [SS14] we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let p be an odd prime. Let A be an abelian variety over K of dimension d with good reduction. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the Néron model of A. Under the Tate duality pairing*

$$(\star\star)\ H^2(K, \bigwedge^2 A[p^n]) \times H^0(K, \mathrm{Hom}(\bigwedge^2 A[p^n], \mu_{p^n})) \to \mathbb{Z}/p^n,$$

the orthogonal complement of the image of the cycle map $T(A) \overset{c_{p^n}}{\to} H^2(K, \bigwedge^2 A[p^n])$, is the image of the composition $H^2(\mathcal{A}_{fl}, \mu_{p^n}) \to H^2(A_{et}, \mu_{p^n}) \to H^0(K, \mathrm{Hom}(\bigwedge^2 A[p^n], \mu_{p^n}))$, where $H^2(\mathcal{A}_{fl}, \mu_{p^n})$ is the flat cohomology of $\mathcal{A}$.

In the remaining sections we connect the group $H^2(\mathcal{A}_{fl}, \mu_{p^n})$ to a group of homomorphisms of finite flat group schemes. To do that, we use the work of Breuil ([Bre00], [Bre02]) and Faltings ([Fal99]). We first replace flat with syntomic cohomology in section 4. Then we pass to integral crystalline cohomology in the sense of Faltings ([Fal99]). For an abelian scheme $\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, we denote these groups by $H^\star_{Br}(\mathcal{A}/S)$. For $i < p-1$, the group $H^i_{Br}(\mathcal{A}/S)$ is a strongly divisible module over a specific ring S equipped with divided powers. In particular, it is a filtered module with a Frobenius action and a connection ∇. The cases we are interested in are when $i = 1$ and 2. After discussing some generalities about strongly divisible modules, in section 6 we connect $H^1_{Br}(\mathcal{A}/S)$ to the Breuil module corresponding to the p-divisible group $\varinjlim \mathcal{A}[p^n]$. Moreover, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *There is a natural isomorphism of filtered free modules*

$$\rho : \bigwedge^2 H^1_{Br}(\mathcal{A}/S) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^2_{Br}(\mathcal{A}/S).$$

We note that some of these computations might be known to the experts, but we could not find any reference in the literature.

The proof of theorem 1.1 is completed in section 7. Finally in the last section we provide examples of abelian varieties of specific reduction type, that give non trivial Galois symbol and generalize the computations of [MR09] and [HH13].

1.6. **Future Questions.** Our hope is that theorem 1.1 will provide inspiration to go even further, generalizing the classical work of Bloch and Kato in [BK90]. In this article the authors define for a $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module T of finite rank with a continuous G_K-action, subgroups

$$H^1_e(K,T) \subset H^1_f(K,T) \subset H^1_g(K,T) \subset H^1(K,T),$$

called the exponential part, the finite part and the geometric part. For example, the geometric part is defined as follows,

$$H^1_g(K,T) := \ker(H^1(K,T) \longrightarrow H^1(K, B_{DR} \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}} T)).$$

All of these subgroups can be described as groups of extensions of T satisfying a specific property. Moreover, Kato proved that under the local Tate duality pairing, the exact annihilator of the exponential part is the geometric part of the dual, while the exact annihilator of the finite part is the finite part of the dual.

In the case when T is the Tate module of an abelian variety A over K, all the three subgroups are equal and they coincide with $\mathrm{Im}[A(K) \hookrightarrow H^1(K,T)]$, which recovers the finer result of Tate for H^1. Inspired by this incredible work, we pose the following questions.

(1) What would constitute a good definition of $H^2_e(K,T), H^2_f(K,T), H^2_g(K,T)$?
(2) Assuming that such a good definition exists, is it true that in the case when $T = T(A) \otimes T(B)$, where A, B are abelian varieties, then all the three subgroups coincide and are equal to the image of the Galois symbol?

1.7. **Notation.** In all this draft K will be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ and residue field k. We will denote by $W = W(k)$ the ring of Witt vectors over k. We fix an algebraic closure $\overline{K}$ of K. For an extension L of K and a variety X over K, we will denote by X_L its base change to L.

For an abelian variety A and a positive integer m, we will denote by $A[m]$ the m-torsion points of A. Moreover, we will denote by $A^\star$ the dual abelian variety of A.

For an abstract abelian group C we will denote by $C^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}(C, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ and by $C^\star := \mathrm{Hom}(C, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1))$, where $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)$ is the Tate twist.

For finite flat group schemes $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$, we will denote by $\mathcal{H}om_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ the group of continuous homomorphisms of finite flat group schemes defined over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

Throughout the article, we will be working with many different Grothendieck sites and cohomology theories. In each case we will use a subscript, for example $H^\star(X_{fl}, -)$ for the flat cohomology. By abuse of notation, sometimes we will omit the subscript whenever we work with étale cohomology. Moreover, when we have a morphism of Grothendieck topologies, we will write the map as a functor on the corresponding categories of abelian sheaves, for example $X_{et} \to X_{fl}$.

1.8. **Acknowledgements.** I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my Ph.D thesis advisor, Pr. Kazuya Kato. There are not enough words that can express my gratitude. This project was initiated under K. Kato's supervision and guidance. In fact, Pr. Kato shared his vision and ideas with me, helped me very significantly with the outline of the proof and gave precious lectures to me about p-adic Hodge theory. Additionally, I am deeply grateful to my post doc mentor at the University of Michigan, Pr. Bhargav Bhatt, who was very kind and eager to help me with the crystalline cohomology computations, and moreover he proof read my paper and gave me very useful suggestions. Furthermore, I would like to thank Pr. Christophe Breuil for a very useful discussion about his theory of Breuil modules.

This project was started while at the University of Chicago, continued while the author was visiting the SFB program on higher invariants at the University of Regensburg and completed while at the University of Michigan. I would like to thank all three institutions for their support and hospitality.

2. The Galois symbol and Tate duality

In this section we review the definition of the local Tate duality and define the symbolic part of Galois cohomology. We then show some first indications towards theorem (1.1).

2.1. **Local Tate duality.** Let A, B be abelian varieties over K. Let $B^\star$ be the dual abelian variety of B. Let $m \geq 1$ be a positive integer, possibly divisible by p. For the finite G_K-module $A[m] \otimes B[m]$, local Tate duality gives us a perfect pairing of finite abelian groups

$$H^2(K, A[m] \otimes B[m]) \times H^0(K, \mathrm{Hom}(A[m] \otimes B[m], \mu_m)) \to \mathbb{Z}/m.$$

We start by giving a different description of the group $H^0(K, \mathrm{Hom}(A[m] \otimes B[m], \mu_m))$.

Lemma 2.1. *The group $H^0(K, \mathrm{Hom}(A[m] \otimes B[m], \mu_m))$ is canonically isomorphic to the group $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(A[m], B^\star[m])$.*

Proof. First, by the definition of Galois cohomology we have $H^0(K, \mathrm{Hom}(A[m] \otimes B[m], \mu_m)) = (\mathrm{Hom}(A[m] \otimes B[m], \mu_m)))^{G_K}$. Then using adjoint functors, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}(A[m] \otimes B[m], \mu_m)^{G_K} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(A[m], \mathrm{Hom}(B[m], \mu_m)).$$

Finally, the Weil pairing yields an isomorphism of G_K-modules, $\mathrm{Hom}(B[m], \mu_m) \simeq B^\star[m]$ from which the lemma follows.

□

Using lemma 2.1, we give a precise description of the Tate duality pairing,

$$(\star) \quad H^2(K, A[m] \otimes B[m]) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(A[m], B^\star[m]) \xrightarrow{<,>} \mathbb{Z}/m.$$

Let $\{f_{\sigma,\tau}\}_{\sigma,\tau \in G} \subset A[m] \otimes B[m]$ be a 2-cocycle and $g : A[m] \to B^\star[m]$ be a G_K- homomorphism. Then g induces a push-forward $g_\star(\{f_{\sigma,\tau}\}) \in H^2(K, B^\star[m] \otimes B[m])$. Using the Weil pairing, $B^\star[m] \otimes B[m] \to \mu_m$, the Tate pairing $< \{f_{\sigma,\tau}\}, g >$ is defined to be the class of $g_\star(\{f_{\sigma,\tau}\})$ in $H^2(K, \mu_m)$. The latter group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/m$, since it coincides with the m-torsion points of the Brauer group, $Br(K)$, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

From now we will refer to this Tate pairing as pairing $(\star)$.

2.2. **The Galois symbol.** The Somekawa K-group $K(K; A, B)$ attached to the abelian varieties A, B is defined as a quotient

$$K(K; A, B) = (\bigoplus_{L/K} A(L) \otimes B(L))/R,$$

where the sum is under all finite extensions L of K. The relations R describe a projection formula and a reciprocity relation arising from function fields of curves over K. In this draft we won't need the exact generators of R.

The elements of $K(K; A, B)$ are traditionally denoted as symbols. Namely the generators of $K(K; A, B)$ are of the form $\{a, b\}_{L/K}$, where $a \in A(L), b \in B(L)$. For a positive integer $m \geq 1$, the Galois symbol s_m is defined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} s_m : \quad & K(K; A, B)/m \to H^2(K, A[m] \otimes B[m]) \\ & \{a, b\}_{L/K} \to \mathrm{Cor}_{L/K}(\delta_1(a) \cup \delta_2(b)), \end{aligned}$$

where δ_1 (resp. δ_2) is the connecting homomorphism $A(L) \to H^1(L, A[m])$ of the long exact sequence arising from the Kummer sequence of A (resp. B), $\cup$ is the cup product in Galois cohomology and $\mathrm{Cor}_{L/K} : H^2(L, A[m] \otimes B[m]) \to H^2(K, A[m] \otimes B[m])$ is the corestriction.

Definition 2.2. We define the symbolic part of $H^2(K, A[m] \otimes B[m])$ to be the image of the symbol map s_m and we denote it by $H^2_s(K, A[m] \otimes B[m])$.

We now restate the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 2.3. *Let A, B be abelian varieties over K with good reduction. Let $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}^\star$ be the Néron models of A and $B^\star$ respectively. Under the Tate duality pairing $(\star)$, the orthogonal complement of the symbolic part $H^2_s(K, A[m] \otimes B[m]))$ is the group $\mathcal{H}om_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[m], \mathcal{B}^\star[m])$.*

Remark 2.4. We note that theorem 2.3 is really the analogue of the classical result of Tate for H^1 mentioned in the introduction. Namely, we claim that the image of the Galois symbol, $H^2_s(K, A[m] \otimes B[m]) := \mathrm{Im}(K(K; A, B)/m \to H^2(K, A[m] \otimes B[m]))$, is the right H^2 set-up to consider. For, in the classical H^1 case, the subgroup in question was $\mathrm{Im}(A(K) \to H^1(K, A[m]))$. One of the properties of the Somekawa K-group is an isomorphism $K(K; A) \simeq A(K)$, hence we can view the map $A(K)/m \to H^1(K, A[m])$ as a Galois symbol, namely

$$K(K; A)/m \to H^1(K, A[m]).$$

The very first step towards theorem 2.3 is to prove that the two subgroups in question annihilate each other.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a smooth scheme over $\mathcal{O}_K$. Let G be an étale commutative group scheme. The map $H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{et}, G) \xrightarrow{\rho} H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{fl}, G)$ induced by the inclusion of categories $\mathfrak{X}_{et} \subset \mathfrak{X}_{fl}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We consider the Leray spectral sequence, $H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{et}, R^j\varphi_\star\mathbb{G}_m) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(\mathfrak{X}_{fl}, \mathbb{G}_m)$, induced by the morphism of sites $\mathfrak{X}_{et} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathfrak{X}_{fl}$. It follows by a theorem of Grothendieck ([Gro68]) that $R^j\varphi_\star G = 0$, for every $j > 0$ and for every smooth commutative group scheme. The low five term exact sequence yields an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(\mathfrak{X}_{et}, G) \to H^1(\mathfrak{X}_{fl}, G) \to H^0(\mathfrak{X}_{et}, R^1\varphi_\star G).$$

The lemma then follows by induction on i.

□

Proposition 2.6. *Under the Tate duality pairing $(\star)$, the groups $H^2_s(K, A[m] \otimes B[m])$ and $\mathcal{H}om_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[m], \mathcal{B}^\star[m])$ pair to zero.*

Proof. Let L/K be a finite extension of K. By the Néron model property, we have an isomorphism $A(L) \simeq \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_L)$. Moreover, we have commutative diagrams,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_L) & \longrightarrow & H^1_{fl}(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L), \mathcal{A}[m]) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Cor}_{L/K}} & H^1_{fl}(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K), \mathcal{A}[m]) \\
\downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A(L) & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} & H^1(L, A[m]) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Cor}_{L/K}} & H^1(K, A[m]),
\end{array}$$

and similarly for B. Here we denote by $H^1_{fl}(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K), -)$ the flat cohomology on $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. (By flat we mean we are working over the fppf site). Notice that the two right vertical arrows are defined using the previous lemma, since we have isomorphisms $H^1(K, A[m]) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^1_{fl}(K, A[m])$ and same for B. Moreover, the map $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_L) \to H^1_{fl}(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L), \mathcal{A}[m])$ is induced by the Kummer sequence on $\mathcal{A}$, which is exact on $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)_{fl}$. Using the fact that the cup product commutes with the Corestriction map, we conclude that $H^2_s(K, A[m] \otimes B[m])$ is contained in the image of $H^2_{fl}(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K), \mathcal{A}[m] \otimes \mathcal{B}[m]) \to H^2(K, A[m] \otimes B[m])$.

Let $\{a, b\}_{L/K} \in K(K; A, B)/m$ and $h : \mathcal{A}[m] \to \mathcal{B}^\star[m]$ be a morphism of finite flat group schemes over $\mathcal{O}_K$. Using the description of $(\star)$ described in the beginning of the section, we conclude that $< s_m(\{a, b\}_{L/K}), h > \in \mathrm{Im}[H^2_{fl}(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K), \mu_m) \to H^2(K, \mu_m)]$. The proposition then follows by the fact that $H^2_{fl}(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K), \mu_m) \simeq Br(\mathcal{O}_K)[m] = 0$.

□

2.3. **The prime-to-p Case.** W. Raskind and M. Spiess in [RS00] (theorem 3.5) prove that when A, B have good reduction, the Somekawa K-group $K(K; A, B)$ is m-divisible, for m coprime to p. This in particular yields an equality $H^2_s(K, A[m] \otimes B[m]) = 0$. The next proposition shows that their result agrees with our theorem 2.3.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $m \geq 1$ be a positive integer with m coprime to p. Then theorem (2.3) holds. In particular, we have an equality $\mathcal{H}om_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[m], \mathcal{B}^\star[m]) = \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(A[m], B^\star[m])$.*

Proof. Since both $A, B^\star$ have good reduction and m is coprime to p, the group schemes $\mathcal{A}[m]$ and $\mathcal{B}^\star[m]$ are étale. We denote by $\overline{A} := \mathcal{A} \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} k$ (resp. $\overline{B^\star}$) the reduction of A (resp. of $B^\star$) over the residue field. Let $G_k = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$. The reduction map is fully faithful when we restrict to the étale group schemes, and we therefore get isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{H}om_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[m], \mathcal{B}^\star[m]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{G_k}(\overline{A}[m], \overline{B}^\star[m]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(A[m], B^\star[m]).$$

□

3. Zero cycles and the result of Saito-Sato

The remaining sections of this paper concentrate in proving the theorem for $m = p^n$, where n is a positive integer. In this section we review the relation between the Somekawa K-group $K(K; A, B)$ and various groups of zero cycles. Using the main theorem of [SS14], we make a first important reduction to the problem.

3.1. **Relation to zero cycles.** For a smooth projective variety X over K we consider the group $CH_0(X)$ of zero cycles modulo rational equivalence on X. This group has a filtration

$$CH_0(X) \supset A_0(X) \supset T(X),$$

where the first subgroup $A_0(X)$ is the kernel of the degree map, $\deg : CH_0(X) \to \mathbb{Z}$, and the second subgroup $T(X)$ is the kernel of the Albanese map, $\mathrm{alb}_X : A_0(X) \to Alb_X(K)$.

There are interesting connections between the Albanese kernel of certain varieties and the Somekawa K-group, as the following results indicate.

Product of Curves. Let C_1, C_2 be smooth complete curves over K with Jacobian varieties J_1, J_2. Raskind and Spiess in [RS00] proved an isomorphism $T(C_1 \times C_2) \simeq K(J_1, J_2)$. In particular, if E_1, E_2 are elliptic curves over K, then $T(E_1 \times E_2) \simeq K(K; E_1, E_2)$ and additionally the Galois symbol s_{p^n} coincides with the cycle map to étale cohomology

$$c_{p^n} : CH_0(E_1 \times E_2)/p^n \to H^4(E_1 \times E_2, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2})$$

when the latter is restricted to the Albanese kernel.

Abelian Varieties. From now on we will be working with an abelian variety A over K of dimension d. Moreover, we assume that the prime p is odd. For such a variety, the current author in [Gaz15] defined a descending filtration $\{F^r\}_{r\geq 0}$ of $CH_0(A)$ with the property

$$F^r/F^{r+1} \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{r!}] \simeq S_r(K; A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{r!}],$$

for every $r \geq 0$. ([Gaz15] th. 3.8). The group $S_r(K; A)$ is the quotient of the K-group $K(K; A, \cdots, A)$ attached to r copies of A by the action of the symmetric group in r variables.

The case $r = 2$ is of particular importance for the proof of theorem (2.3). The group F^2 coincides with the Albanese kernel $T(A)$ of A and we have an injection $\Phi : F^2/F^3 \hookrightarrow S_2(K; A)$ with the image of Φ containing $2S_2(K; A)$. ([Gaz15] prop. 3.4).

3.2. **The Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence.** Let $r \geq 0$. By [MR09], lemma 2.1.1, the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence,

$$E_2^{i,j} := H^i(K, H^j(A_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(r))$$

degenerates at level 2, where $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(r) := \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes r}$ is the Tate twist. We are interested in the following two cases.

Filtration on $H^2(A, \mu_{p^n})$: The spectral sequence gives a filtration $H^2(A, \mu_{p^n}) = G^0 \supset G^1 \supset G^2 \supset 0$ with the following graded quotients,

- $G^0/G^1 \simeq E_2^{0,2} = H^0(K, H^2(A_{\overline{K}}, \mu_{p^n})) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(\bigwedge^2 A[p^n], \mu_{p^n})$,
- $G^1/G^2 \simeq E_2^{1,1} = H^1(K, H^1(A_{\overline{K}}, \mu_{p^n})) = H^1(K, \mathrm{Hom}(A[p^n], \mu_{p^n}))$,
- $G^2 \simeq E_2^{2,0} = H^2(K, H^0(A_{\overline{K}}, \mu_{p^n})) = \mathbb{Z}/p^n$.

Filtration on $H^{2d}(A,\mathbb{Z}/p^n(d))$: The Hochschild-Serre filtration $H^{2d}(A,\mathbb{Z}/p^n(d)) = I^0 \supset I^1 \supset I^2 \supset 0$ has again only three pieces, because the base field K has cohomological dimension 2. The graded quotients are as follows,

- $I^0/I^1 \simeq E_2^{0,2d} = H^0(K, H^{2d}(A_{\overline{K}},\mathbb{Z}/p^n(d)))$,
- $I^1/I^2 \simeq E_2^{1,2d-1} = H^1(K, H^{2d-1}(A_{\overline{K}},\mathbb{Z}/p^n(d)))$,
- $I^2 \simeq E_2^{2,2d-2} = H^2(K, H^{2d-2}(A_{\overline{K}},\mathbb{Z}/p^n(d)))$.

Using Poincaré duality and the computation of $H^i(A_{\overline{K}},\mathbb{Z}/p^n)$ (see for example [Mil08]) we get a more concrete description of the graded pieces $gr^i(I^\bullet)$, namely,

- $I^0/I^1 = H^0(K,\mathbb{Z}/p^n) = \mathbb{Z}/p^n$,
- $I^1/I^2 = H^1(K, H^{2d-1}(A_{\overline{K}},\mathbb{Z}/p^n(d))) \simeq H^1(K, A[p^n])$,
- $I^2 = H^2(K, H^{2d-2}(A_{\overline{K}},\mathbb{Z}/p^n(d))) \simeq H^2(K, \bigwedge^2 A[p^n])$.

3.3. **The cycle map as a Galois symbol.** Let m be a positive integer. It is known that the cycle map $c_m : CH_0(A)/m \to H^{2d}(A,\mathbb{Z}/m(d))$ when restricted to the Albanese kernel, $T(A)$, maps to the second piece of the Hochschild-Serre filtration, namely $c_m : T(A) \to H^2(K, \bigwedge^2 A[m])$.

In [Gaz15], proposition 5.2, we showed that the Galois symbol induces a map as follows,

$$S_2(K;A)/m \to H^2(K, \bigwedge^2 A[m]).$$

Moreover, in section 6 of [Gaz15] we showed that the cycle map c_m when restricted to $T(A)$ coincides with the composition

$$F^2/F^3 \overset{\Phi}{\hookrightarrow} S_2(K;A) \to H^2(K, \bigwedge^2 A[m]).$$

Lemma 3.1. *For $m = p^n$, with $p > 2$, the image of the cycle map $T(A) \xrightarrow{c_{p^n}} H^2(K, \bigwedge^2 A[p^n])$ and that of the Galois symbol $S_2(K;A) \xrightarrow{s_{p^n}} H^2(K, \bigwedge^2 A[p^n])$ coincide.*

Proof. This is clear, since the group $H^2(K, \bigwedge^2 A[p^n])$ has order a power of p and the map Φ surjects onto $2S_2(K;A)$, therefore the image of the composition $s_{p^n} \circ \Phi$ coincides with the image of s_{p^n}.

□

In the remaining of this section our goal is to describe the orthogonal complement of $s_{p^n} : S_2(K;A)/p^n \to H^2(K, \bigwedge^2 A[p^n])$ under the Tate duality pairing,

$$(\star\star)\ H^2(K, \bigwedge^2 A[p^n]) \times H^0(K, \mathrm{Hom}(\bigwedge^2 A[p^n], \mu_{p^n})) \to \mathbb{Z}/p^n,$$

which is defined similarly to the pairing $(\star)$. We first need some generalities about the Brauer-Manin pairing.

The Brauer-Manin pairing. Let X be a smooth projective variety over K of dimension d. The Brauer-Manin pairing is a pairing,

$$<,>_1 : CH_0(X) \times Br(X) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

between the zero cycles on X and the Brauer group of X. The pairing is described by evaluation of Azumaya algebras at closed points. Restricting to the p^n-torsion points of $Br(X)$, we obtain a pairing $<,>_1 : CH_0(X)/p^n \times Br(X)[p^n] \to \mathbb{Z}/p^n$. Moreover, S. Saito in [Sai89] shows the existence of a perfect pairing

$$<,>_2 : H^{2d}(X,\mathbb{Z}/p^n) \times H^2(X,\mu_{p^n}) \to \mathbb{Z}/p^n.$$

The Kummer sequence, $0 \to \mu_{p^n} \to \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{p^n} \mathbb{G}_m \to 0$ is an exact sequence of étale sheaves on X, giving rise to a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Pic}(X)/p^n \xrightarrow{\beta} H^2(X,\mu_{p^n}) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} Br(X)[p^n] \to 0.$$

The cycle map c_{p^n} and the above exact sequence give a compatibility between the two pairings as follows,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} <,>_1 \colon Br(X)[p^n] & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(CH_0(X)/p^n, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \\ \varepsilon \uparrow & & \uparrow c^{\star}_{p^n} \\ <,>_2 \colon H^2(X, \mu_{p^n}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d)), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}). \end{array}$$

For a proof of the commutativity of the above diagram we refer to [Gaz15] (lemma 6.4).

The Brauer-Manin pairing is far from being perfect. In fact it has significant kernels on both sides. We are interested in the right kernel, which is described by the following theorem of S. Saito and K. Sato (we only include the good reduction case).

Theorem 3.2. *([SS14]) Let X be a smooth projective variety over K that has a smooth proper model $\mathfrak{X}$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$. The right kernel of the Brauer-Manin pairing is precisely $Br(\mathfrak{X})$.*

We note that the coprime-to-p version of the above theorem was previously known by Colliot-Thélène and S. Saito ([CTS96]). This result is the key to prove the theorem in the next subsection.

3.4. **The orthogonal complement of the cycle map.** From now on we focus on the case of an abelian variety A over K of good reduction. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the Néron model of A, which is an abelian scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

We next consider the map $H^2_{fl}(\mathcal{A}, \mu_{p^n}) \to H^2_{et}(A, \mu_{p^n})$ obtained by the composition of the map $H^2_{fl}(\mathcal{A}, \mu_{p^n}) \to H^2_{fl}(A, \mu_{p^n})$ and the inverse of the map ρ of lemma 2.5 applied for the group scheme $(\mu_{p^n})_K$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let A be an abelian variety over K of dimension d with good reduction. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the Néron model of A. Under the Tate duality pairing $(\star\star)$, the orthogonal complement of the image of the cycle map $T(A) \overset{c_{p^n}}{\to} H^2(K, \bigwedge^2 A[p^n])$, or equivalently of the Galois symbol s_{p^n}, is the image of the composition*

$$H^2_{fl}(\mathcal{A}, \mu_{p^n}) \to H^2_{et}(A, \mu_{p^n}) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(K, \mathrm{Hom}(\bigwedge^2 A[p^n], \mu_{p^n})).$$

Proof. The first step is to consider the Saito perfect pairing

$$<,>_2 \colon H^{2d}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d)) \times H^2(A, \mu_{p^n})) \to \mathbb{Z}/p^n,$$

and prove that the orthogonal complement under $<,>_2$ of the image of the cycle map is $\mathrm{Im}(H^2_{fl}(\mathcal{A}, \mu_{p^n}) \to H^2(A, \mu_{p^n}))$.

Keeping the notation of our set up above, we need to show an equality

$$\ker(c^{\star}_{p^n} \circ <,>_2) = \mathrm{Im}(H^2_{fl}(\mathcal{A}, \mu_{p^n}) \to H^2_{et}(A, \mu_{p^n})).$$

The compatibility between the two pairings yields an equality $c^{\star}_{p^n} \circ <,>_2 = <,>_1 \circ \varepsilon$. Let x be an element of $\ker(<,>_1 \circ \varepsilon)$. Then $\varepsilon(x) \in \ker(<,>_1)$. By the theorem of Saito and Sato ([SS14]), we conclude that $\varepsilon(x)$ belongs to $Br(\mathcal{A})[p^n]$. Considering the Kummer sequences on $\mathcal{A}_{fl}$ and A_{et} respectively we get commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{A})/p^n & \overset{\beta}{\longrightarrow} & H^2_{fl}(\mathcal{A}, \mu_{p^n}) & \overset{\varepsilon}{\longrightarrow} & Br(\mathcal{A})[p^n] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \iota_1 & & \downarrow \iota_2 & & \downarrow \iota_3 & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}(A)/p^n & \overset{\beta}{\longrightarrow} & H^2_{et}(A, \mu_{p^n}) & \overset{\varepsilon}{\longrightarrow} & Br(A)[p^n] & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

By the Snake Lemma we obtain an exact sequence

$$\ker(\iota_1) \overset{\beta}{\longrightarrow} \ker(\iota_2) \overset{\varepsilon}{\longrightarrow} \ker(\iota_3) \overset{\delta}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{coker}(\iota_1) \overset{\beta}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{coker}(\iota_2) \overset{\varepsilon}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{coker}(\iota_3).$$

Since $\varepsilon(x) \in \mathrm{Im}(\iota_3)$, we get that $x \in \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{coker}(\iota_1) \to \mathrm{coker}(\iota_2))$. Since A is the generic fiber of $\mathcal{A}$, we have a surjection $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{A}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(A)$. In particular ι_1 is surjective and hence $x \in \mathrm{Im}(\iota_2)$, which is exactly what our first claim was.

The next step is to restrict to the Albanese kernel $T(A)$. We refine the Saito pairing using Tate duality. We consider the Hochschild-Serre filtration $G^0 \supset G^1 \supset G^2 \supset 0$ and $I^0 \supset I^1 \supset I^2 \supset 0$ of the groups $H^2(A, \mu_{p^n})$ and $H^{2d}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d))$ respectively. In subsection 3.2 we computed the graded pieces of these. We notice that for integers $i, j \in \{0, 2\}$ with $i + j = 2$ the Saito pairing $<,>_2$ gives a pairing

$$<,>_2 \colon gr^i(I^\bullet) \times gr^j(G^\bullet) \to \mathbb{Z}/p^n,$$

which is in fact a local Tate duality pairing. The case of interest for us is when $i = 2$ and $j = 0$, when the pairing coincides with $(\star\star)$. We have commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & G^1 & \longrightarrow & H^2(A, \mu_{p^n}) & \longrightarrow & G^0/G^1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle <,>_2}\simeq & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle <,>_2}\simeq & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle <,>_2}\simeq & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (I^0/I^2)^\vee & \longrightarrow & (H^{2d}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d)))^\vee & \longrightarrow & (I^2)^\vee & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c^\star_{p^n}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c^\star_{p^n}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c^\star_{p^n}} & & \\
 & & (CH_0(A)/T(A))^\vee & \longrightarrow & (CH_0(A)/p^n)^\vee & \longrightarrow & (F^2/p^n)^\vee & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

We set $f_i := c^\star_{p^n} \circ <,>_2$ (the map corresponding to the i vertical arrow for $i = 1, 2, 3$). We want to describe the kernel of f_3. A weaker form of the snake lemma yields an exact sequence

$$\ker(f_2) \longrightarrow \ker(f_3) \xrightarrow{\delta} \operatorname{coker}(f_1).$$

By the previous step we get that $\ker(f_2) = \operatorname{Im}(H^2_{fl}(\mathcal{A}, \mu_{p^n}) \to H^2(A, \mu_{p^n}))$. The statement of the theorem will thus follow, if we show that $\operatorname{coker}(f_1) = 0$. It suffices to show that $c^\star_{p^n} : (H^{2d}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d))/I^2)^\vee \to ((CH_0(A)/T(A))/p^n)^\vee$ is surjective, or equivalently that

$$c_{p^n} : (CH_0(A)/T(A))/p^n \to H^{2d}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d))/I^2$$

is injective. This follows from the following two facts. First, we have an isomorphism induced by the degree map

$$\deg : (CH_0(A)/A_0(A))/p^n \to \mathbb{Z}/p^n \simeq H^{2d}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d))/I^1.$$

Second, we have an inclusion induced by the Kummer sequence $0 \longrightarrow A[p^n] \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{p^n} A \longrightarrow 0$ on A which gives $(A_0(A)/T(A))/p^n \simeq A(K)/p^n \hookrightarrow H^1(K, A[p^n]) \simeq I^1/I^2$.

□

4. Syntomic cohomology

In this section we want to replace the flat cohomology group $H^2_{fl}(\mathcal{A}, \mu_{p^n})$ of theorem 3.3 with syntomic cohomology. Let $W = W(k)$ be the ring of Witt vectors over the residue field k and $K_0 = \operatorname{frac}(W(k))$ its field of fractions. We have a tower $\mathbb{Q}_p \subset K_0 \subset K$ of finite extensions with $K_0/\mathbb{Q}_p$ unramified and K/K_0 totally ramified. Let $e = [K : K_0]$ be the absolute ramification index.

4.1. **The syntomic site.** For a smooth scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ we denote by $\mathfrak{X}_{syn}$ the small syntomic site of $\mathfrak{X}$. This is a Grothendieck site with coverings surjective families of syntomic morphisms. We review the definition of a syntomic morphism $Y \to \mathfrak{X}$.

Definition 4.1. A morphism $f : Y \to \mathfrak{X}$ over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is called syntomic, if it is flat, locally of finite presentation and étale locally on Y there is a factorization $Y \xhookrightarrow{i} Z \xrightarrow{h} \mathfrak{X}$ with h a smooth morphism and i an exact closed immersion that is transversally regular over $\mathfrak{X}$. Alternatively, f is flat and locally a regular complete intersection.

In particular every étale morphism is syntomic and every syntomic morphism is flat, hence we have maps of sites $\mathfrak{X}_{et} \to \mathfrak{X}_{syn} \to \mathfrak{X}_{fl}$.

Example 4.2. For $\mathfrak{X}$ smooth over $\mathcal{O}_K$, the Kummer sequence $0 \to \mu_{p^n} \to \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{G}_m \to 0$ is not exact on $\mathfrak{X}_{et}$, but it is exact on $\mathfrak{X}_{syn}$.

Lemma 4.3. *The map $j : H^2_{syn}(\mathfrak{X}, \mu_{p^n}) \to H^2_{fl}(\mathfrak{X}, \mu_{p^n})$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The Kummer sequence

$$0 \to \mu_{p^n} \to \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{G}_m \to 0$$

is a short exact sequence both on $\mathfrak{X}_{fl}$ and on $\mathfrak{X}_{syn}$. It therefore induces long exact sequences fitting into commutative diagrams as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
H^1_{syn}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & H^1_{syn}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & H^2_{syn}(\mathfrak{X}, \mu_{p^n}) & \longrightarrow & H^2_{syn}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & H^2_{syn}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{G}_m) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^1_{fl}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & H^1_{fl}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & H^2_{fl}(\mathfrak{X}, \mu_{p^n}) & \longrightarrow & H^2_{fl}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & H^2_{fl}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{G}_m).
\end{array}$$

The morphism of sites $\mathfrak{X}_{et} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathfrak{X}_{fl}$ factors through $\mathfrak{X}_{syn}$, as $\mathfrak{X}_{et} \xrightarrow{\varphi'} \mathfrak{X}_{syn} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathfrak{X}_{fl}$. Lemma 2.5 yields an equality $R^j\varphi_\star\mathbb{G}_m = 0$. This in turns implies $R^j\varphi'_\star\mathbb{G}_m = 0$, since the latter is the sheafification of the former for the syntomic topology. We therefore get isomorphisms $H^i_{syn}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq H^i_{fl}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathbb{G}_m)$. The lemma then follows by the five lemma.

□

Corollary 4.4. *The orthogonal complement of* $\mathrm{Im}(T(A)/p^n \xrightarrow{c_{p^n}} H^2(K, \bigwedge^2 A[p^n]))$ *under the Tate pairing is the image of the composition*

$$H^2_{syn}(\mathcal{A}, \mu_{p^n}) \to H^2_{et}(A, \mu_{p^n}) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(K, \mathrm{Hom}(\bigwedge^2 A[p^n], \mu_{p^n})).$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of theorem 3.3 and lemma 4.3.

□

4.2. **The syntomic sheaf $S_n(r)$.** For a smooth scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and for an integer $r \leq p-1$ we define

$$\mathcal{O}^{cris}_n(\mathfrak{X}) = H^0_{cris}(\mathfrak{X}_n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n}), \qquad \mathcal{J}^{[r]}_n(\mathfrak{X}) = H^0_{cris}(\mathfrak{X}_n, \mathcal{J}^{[r]}_{\mathfrak{X}_n}),$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n}$ is the structure sheaf of the absolute crystalline site (this means over $W_n = W \times \mathbb{Z}/p^n$) and $\mathcal{J}^{[r]}_{\mathfrak{X}_n}$ is the r'th divided power of the kernel $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{X}_n} = \ker(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n/W_n} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n})$. For $r \leq 0$ we define $\mathcal{J}^{[r]}_{\mathfrak{X}_n} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n}$. It is known ([FM87], II.1.3) that the presheaves $\mathcal{O}^{cris}_n$ and $\mathcal{J}^{[r]}_n$ are sheaves on $\mathfrak{X}_{n,syn}$. Moreover, the Frobenius endomorphism on $\mathfrak{X}_n$ induces a Frobenius $\phi : \mathcal{O}^{cris}_n \to \mathcal{O}^{cris}_n$ with the property $\phi(\mathcal{J}^{[r]}_n) \subset p^r\mathcal{O}_n$. The assumption $r \leq p-1$ is necessary for this last property. To make it work for larger values of r, a modification of $\mathcal{J}^{[r]}_n$ is needed (see [EN16]). One can check that $\mathcal{J}^{[r]}_n$ is flat over $\mathbb{Z}/p^n$ and we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{J}^{[r]}_{n+1} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^n \simeq \mathcal{J}^{[r]}_n$. This allows us to define the divided Frobenius map, $\phi_r = "p^{-r}\phi" : \mathcal{J}^{[r]}_n \to \mathcal{O}^{cris}_n$. We define the syntomic sheaf $S_n(r)$ as the mapping cone,

$$S_n(r) := \mathrm{Cone}(\mathcal{J}^{[r]}_n \xrightarrow{1-\phi_r} \mathcal{O}^{cris}_n)[-1].$$

Alternatively, we have a short exact sequence of complexes $0 \to S_n(r) \to \mathcal{J}^{[r]}_n \xrightarrow{1-\phi_r} \mathcal{O}^{cris}_n \to 0$, and therefore as a complex, $S_n(r) = \ker(\mathcal{J}^{[r]}_n \xrightarrow{1-\phi_r} \mathcal{O}^{cris}_n)$ (the kernel being in the category of complexes). It is known ([FM87], III.3.2) that for $r = 1$ the syntomic sheaf $S_n(1)$ is isomorphic to μ_{p^n}.

4.3. **Computing syntomic cohomology using differential forms.** Instead of using the syntomic site, we usually compute syntomic cohomology using de Rham complexes. In particular, assume first that there exists a closed embedding $\mathfrak{X} \hookrightarrow Z_0$ where Z_0 is smooth over $W(k)$ endowed with a compatible system of liftings of Frobenius $\{F_n : Z_{0,n} \to Z_{0,n}\}$. For every $n \geq 1$ we have embedding $\mathfrak{X}_n \hookrightarrow Z_{0,n}$ and therefore a surjection of étale sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{Z_{0,n}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n}$. We consider the PD-envelop $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}}$ of $\mathfrak{X}$ in $Z_{0,n}$. Let $J_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}}$ be the ideal generated by the

kernel, $\ker(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{0,n}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n})$, and for $0 < r \leq p-1$, let $J^{[r]}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}}$ be the r'th divided power of $J_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}}$. We consider the de Rham complexes of sheaves on $\mathfrak{X}_{et}$,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}} \bigotimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_{0,n}}} \Omega^1_{Z_{0,n}/W_n} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_{0,n}}} \Omega^2_{Z_{0,n}/W_n} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}^{[r]}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}^{[r-1]}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \Omega^1_{Z_0/W} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{J}^{[r-2]}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \Omega^2_{Z_0/W} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

From now on we will denote them as $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_{0,n}}} \Omega^\bullet_{Z_{0,n}/W_n}$ and $\mathbb{J}^{[r]}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}} := \mathcal{J}^{[r-\bullet]}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_{0,n}}} \Omega^\bullet_{Z_{0,n}/W_n}$. Furthermore for $0 \leq r \leq p-1$ we consider the complex of étale sheaves

$$S_n(r)_{\mathfrak{X},Z_0} := \mathrm{Cone}(\mathbb{J}^{[r]}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}} \xrightarrow{1-\phi_r} \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}})[-1].$$

This complex is up to canonical quasi-isomorphism independent of the choice of the embedding $X_0 \hookrightarrow Z_0$ and the liftings of the Frobenius, so it gives a well defined object in the derived category $D^+(\mathfrak{X}_{et}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$ of bounded above complexes. From now on we will omit the subscript Z_0 from the notation and write simply $S_n(r)_{\mathfrak{X}}$.

In general, if such a global embedding does not exist, we find local embeddings and everything glues well in the derived category. It is a theorem of K. Kato (th. 4.3 in [Kat87]) that the following equality holds for syntomic cohomology

$$H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{syn}, S_n(r)) \simeq H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{et}, S_n(r)_{\mathfrak{X}}).$$

Remark 4.5. We will very soon focus on the case $r = 1$. We note that the complex $S_n(1)_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is quasi-isomorphic to the complex

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{J}^{[1]}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}} \Omega^1_{Z_{0,n}/W_n} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1-\varphi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1-\varphi_1} \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_{0,n}}} \Omega^1_{Z_0/W_n} \end{array}.$$

For, the map $\varphi_1 : \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_{0,n}}} \Omega^i_{Z_{0,n}/W_n} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_{0,n}}} \Omega^i_{Z_{0,n}/W_n}$ is divisible by p for $i \geq 2$. This is because, ϕ_1 on $\Omega^i_{Z_{0,n}/W_n}$ has the property $\phi_1 = p^{i-1}\phi_i$ and hence ϕ_1 on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_n \subset Z_{0,n}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z_{0,n}}} \Omega^i_{Z_{0,n}/W_n}$ becomes $p \otimes p^{i-2}\phi_i$. The remark then follows, since both the above complexes are complexes of sheaves on $\mathfrak{X}_n$ which has the same underlying topological space as $\mathfrak{X}_1$.

5. The categories of Breuil Modules

The next step is to pass from the syntomic cohomology group to crystalline cohomology. We first need some background on Breuil modules.

We fix a uniformizer π of K and let $E(u)$ be its minimal polynomial (an Eisenstein polynomial of degree e). We will denote by $\sigma : W(k) \to W(k)$ the absolute Frobenius map and let $g : W[u] \to \mathcal{O}_K$ be the surjection sending $E(u)$ to 0.

5.1. **The ring S.** We consider the ring $S := W[[u]]^{PD}$, which is the p-adic completion of the ring of divided powers of the polynomial ring $W[u]$ corresponding to the surjection g. The ring S is endowed with the following structures.

- A Frobenius operator, which is the unique continuous, $\sigma-$semilinear application $S \xrightarrow{\phi} S$ that sends u to u^p.
- A decreasing filtration $\{\mathrm{Fil}^i S\}_{i \geq 0}$, where $\mathrm{Fil}^i S$ is the p-adic completion of the ideal generated by $\{\dfrac{E(u)^n}{n!},\ n \geq i\}$. In particular, $\mathrm{Fil}^1 S$ is the p-adic completion of the kernel of g and for $i \leq p-1$ the ideals $\mathrm{Fil}^i S$ are just powers of $\mathrm{Fil}^1 S$.

- A continuous linear derivation $N : S \to S$ such that $N(u) = -u$.

The Frobenius, the filtration and the derivation satisfy the following important properties.

(a) For $0 \leq i \leq p-1$, $\phi(\mathrm{Fil}^i S) \subset p^i S$, so we can define $\phi_i : \mathrm{Fil}^i(S) \to S$, where $\phi_i := \dfrac{\phi}{p^i}|_{\mathrm{Fil}^i}$.
Moreover, we denote by $c = \phi_1(E(u))$. It is well known that c is a unit of S.
(b) $N\phi = p\phi N$
(c) $N(\mathrm{Fil}^i S) \subset \mathrm{Fil}^{i-1} S$, for $i \geq 1$.

5.2. **Strongly divisible and filtered free modules.** The main references for this section are [Bre00] and [Bre02]. We fix a positive integer $1 \leq r \leq p-1$.

Definition 5.1. Let ${}'\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi,N}_{[0,r]}$ be the category with objects quadruples $(M, \mathrm{Fil}^r M, \phi_r, N)$, where

(1) M is an S-module
(2) $\mathrm{Fil}^r M$ is an S-submodule such that $(\mathrm{Fil}^r S) \cdot M \subset \mathrm{Fil}^r M$
(3) $\phi_r : \mathrm{Fil}^r M \to M$ is a ϕ-semilinear map such that $\phi_r(sx) = c^{-r}\phi_r(s)\phi_r(E(u)^r x)$, for every $s \in \mathrm{Fil}^r S$, $x \in M$.
(4) $N : M \to M$ is W-linear endomorphism satisfying $N(sx) = N(s)x + sN(x)$ for $s \in S, x \in M$.

The morphisms in ${}'\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi,N}_{[0,r]}$ are S-linear maps that preserve Fil^r and commute with ϕ_r, N.

We denote by ${}'\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,r]}$ the category which forgets N in the definition of ${}'\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi,N}_{[0,r]}$.

Remark 5.2. In the above definition, we can alternatively define the objects of ${}'\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi,N}_{[0,r]}$ as quadruples $(M, \mathrm{Fil}^r M, \phi_0, N)$, with $\phi_0 : M \to M$ a $\sigma-$semilinear map satisfying $\phi_0(\mathrm{Fil}^r M) \subset p^r M$. Indeed, if such a ϕ_0 exists, we can define $\phi_r = \dfrac{\phi_0}{p^r}|_{\mathrm{Fil}^r M}$. Conversely, if we are given ϕ_r as in definition (5.1), we can define $\phi_0 : M \to M$ by $\phi_0(x) = c^{-r}\phi_r(E(u)^r x)$.

We next consider the full subcategory of ${}'\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi,N}_{[0,r]}$ of strongly divisible modules of weight $\leq r$. The following definition is theorem 2.2.3. in [Bre02].

Definition 5.3. The category $\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi,N}_{[0,r]}$ (resp. $\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,r]}$) of strongly divisible modules of weight $\leq r$ is the full subcategory of ${}'\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi,N}_{[0,r]}$ (resp. ${}'\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,r]}$) of objects $(M, \mathrm{Fil}^r M, \phi_r, N)$ satisfying the following conditions:

(1) M is a free S-module of finite rank.
(2) $\mathrm{Fil}^r M \cap pM = p\,\mathrm{Fil}^r M$
(3) $\phi_r(\mathrm{Fil}^r M)$ generates M as an S-module
(4) $E(u)N(\mathrm{Fil}^r M) \subset \mathrm{Fil}^r M$ (Griffith's transversality)
(5) The following diagram is commutative,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Fil}^r M & \xrightarrow{\phi_r} & M \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle E(u)N} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle cN} \\ \mathrm{Fil}^r M & \xrightarrow{\phi_r} & M. \end{array}$$

Convention 5.4. If $(M, \mathrm{Fil}^r M, \phi_r, N)$ is a strongly divisible module, by abuse of notation we will denote this module by M.

Remark 5.5. (1.) If $r+1 \leq p-1$, there is a full faithful embedding $\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi,N}_{[0,r]} \subset \mathrm{Mod}^{\phi,N}_{[0,r+1]}$. In fact, if M is a strongly divisible module of weight r, then it becomes a module of weight $r+1$ if we define $\mathrm{Fil}^{r+1}(M) = \mathrm{Fil}^1 S \cdot \mathrm{Fil}^r M + \mathrm{Fil}^{r+1} S \cdot M$.
(2.) Because strongly divisible modules are required to be free over S, and hence torsion-free, condition (2) of definition (5.3) is equivalent to requiring $M/\mathrm{Fil}^r M$ to have no p-torsion.

Example 5.6. For $0 \leq r \leq p-1$ we denote by $\mathbf{1}_r$ the rank one free object of $\mathrm{Mod}_{[0,r]}^{\phi,N}$, namely the module $(S, \mathrm{Fil}^r(S), \phi_r, N)$. Moreover, we define the $-r$-twist of $\mathbf{1}_r$ to be the filtered free module $\mathbf{1}_r[-r]$, which has S as the underlying S-module, $\mathrm{Fil}^r(\mathbf{1}_r[-r]) = S$, $\phi_r(1) = 1$ and $N = 0$.

We have the following straightforward lemma.

Lemma 5.7. *Let M be a strongly divisible module of weight $1 \leq r \leq p-2$. There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{S,\mathrm{Fil}^r,\phi_r,N}(\mathbf{1}_1[-1], M) \simeq \{e \in \mathrm{Fil}^1(M)/\phi_1(e) = e, N(e) = 0\}.$$

Proof. The proof follows directly from the definitions, after we observe that a S-linear morphism $f : \mathbf{1}_1[-1] \to M$ is completely determined by $f(1) = e$ and for this to preserve the filtration, the Frobenius and the derivation, it is a necessary and sufficient condition that $f(1) \in \mathrm{Fil}^1 M$ such that $\phi_1(e) = 0$ and $N(e) = 0$.

□

Functors to Galois representations. The category $\mathrm{Mod}_{[0,r]}^{\phi,N}$ is endowed with a contravariant functor, $T_{st}^{\star} : \mathrm{Mod}_{[0,r]}^{\phi,N} \to \mathbb{Z}_p[G_K] - \mathrm{Mod}$. The functor $T_{st}^{\star}$ assigns to a strongly divisible module M the following $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_K]-$module,

$$T_{st}^{\star} = \mathrm{Hom}_{S,\mathrm{Fil}^{\cdot},\phi,N}(M, \widehat{A}_{st}).$$

Here $\widehat{A}_{st}$ is a certain period ring. We will not need the precise definition of $\widehat{A}_{st}$ in what follows, so we do not review its definition. For more details see for example [Bre99].

The result that is mostly useful to us is that $T_{st}^{\star}$ becomes fully faithful, if we restrict to the smaller category of filtered-free modules. We review the definition of this category below.

Definition 5.8. A strongly divisible module $(M, \mathrm{Fil}^r M, \phi_r, N) \in \mathrm{Mod}_{[0,r]}^{\phi,N}$ is called filtered-free if there exists a basis $\{e_1, \cdots, e_d\}$ of M as an S-module such that there exist integers $0 \leq r_1 \leq \cdots \leq r_d \leq r$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ such that

$$\mathrm{Fil}^r M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d} E(u)^{r_i} S e_i + (\mathrm{Fil}^r S) M.$$

If such a basis exists, we call it an adapted basis for M with corresponding integer sequence $\{0 \leq r_1 \leq \cdots \leq r_d \leq r\}$.

We note that most of the strongly divisible modules are not filtered free. But all modules of weight $r \leq 1$ are filtered free. Moreover, those strongly divisible modules which arise from crystalline cohomology are filtered free as well, as we shall see in the next section.

Remark 5.9. We note that the condition $\mathrm{Fil}^r M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d} E(u)^{r_i} S e_i + (\mathrm{Fil}^r S) M$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{Fil}^r M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d} (\mathrm{Fil}^{r_i} S) e_i$.

Lemma 5.10. *If $\{e_1, \cdots, e_r\}$ is an adapted basis for M, then the vectors $\{\phi_r(E(u)^{r_i} e_i)\}$ form another S-basis of M.*

Proof. The case $r = 1$ was proved by Caruso in [Car05] (in the proof of lemma 2.1.1). The general case is similar. Namely, using Nakayama lemma we can show that the vectors $\{\phi_r(E(u)^{r_i} e_i)\}$ span M and they have the correct cardinality.

□

Remark 5.11. We note that Faltings in [Fal99] defines filtered free modules in a slightly different way. Namely, he defines the category $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,r]}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ to have objects filtered S-modules M having a filtration $\{\mathrm{Fil}^i M\}_{0 \leq i \leq r}$, a connection ∇ and a Frobenius ϕ that satisfy the following properties:

(1) M is a free S-module having a basis $\{e_1, \cdots, e_r\}$ such that for $j \leq r$ there exists integers $0 \leq q_i \leq r$ such that $\mathrm{Fil}^j M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d} \mathrm{Fil}^{q_i}(S)e_i$.

(2) The connection ∇ satisfies Griffiths transversality, namely

$$\nabla(\frac{\partial}{\partial u})(\mathrm{Fil}^i(M)) \subset \mathrm{Fil}^{i-1}(M).$$

(3) $\phi : M \to M$ is a σ-semilinear endomorphism of M such that $\phi|_{\mathrm{Fil}^i(M)} \subset p^i M$ and $\nabla\phi_r = \phi_{r-1}\nabla$. Moreover the elements $\phi_{r_i}(e_i)$ form a new basis of M, where $r_i = \min\{j \leq r : e_i \in \mathrm{Fil}^j(M)\}$ and $\phi_{r_i} = \dfrac{\phi}{p^{r_i}}$.

The morphisms in $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,r]}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ are S-linear maps that preserve the filtration and commute with ϕ and ∇.

We can see that the two definitions are equivalent. Clearly every filtered free module in the sense of Faltings is filtered free in the sense of Breuil. For the converse, let $(M, \mathrm{Fil}^r(M), \phi_r, N)$ be a filtered free Breuil module having an adapted basis $\{e_1, \cdots, e_r\}$ with corresponding integer sequence $\{0 \leq r_1 \leq \cdots \leq r_d \leq r\}$. Then we can define for $i \leq r$ the S-submodule $\mathrm{Fil}^i(M) = \{x \in M : E(u)^{r-i}x \in \mathrm{Fil}^r M\}$. The condition that $\{\phi_r(E(u)^{r_i}e_i)\}$ form a new basis is equivalent to $\{\phi_{r-r_i}(e_i)\}$ to form a new basis. Finally, the transversality condition (2) of Faltings is equivalent to condition (4) in definition 5.3. From now one we will use mostly Breuil's definition, but we will be interchanging between the two definitions without mentioning it.

5.3. **Tensor product of filtered free modules.** In this section we define the tensor product of two filtered free modules and prove some elementary properties of this product.

Definition 5.12. Let $0 \leq r, m \leq p-1$ be two integers such that $r + m \leq p - 1$. Let $M_1 \in \mathcal{MF}_{[0,r]}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, $M_2 \in \mathcal{MF}_{[0,m]}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ be two filtered-free modules of weight $\leq r$ and $\leq m$ respectively. We define $M_1 \otimes M_2$ to be the object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,r+m]}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ with

(1) $M_1 \otimes_S M_2$ as the underlying S-module
(2) $\mathrm{Fil}^n(M_1 \otimes M_2) = \sum_{i+j=n} \mathrm{Im}[\mathrm{Fil}^i M_1 \otimes \mathrm{Fil}^j M_2 \to M_1 \otimes M_2] + (\mathrm{Fil}^n S)(M_1 \otimes M_2)$, for $n \leq r + m$.
(3) $\phi_0 : M_1 \otimes M_2 \to M_1 \otimes M_2$ to be $\phi_0 = \phi_{0,M_1} \otimes \phi_{0,M_2}$
(4) $N : M_1 \otimes M_2 \to M_1 \otimes M_2$ by $N(m \otimes n) = N(m) \otimes n + m \otimes N(n)$.

It is straightforward that $M_1 \otimes_S M_2$ is indeed a filtered-free module, after we observe that if $\{e_1, \cdots, e_{d_1}\}$ and $\{w_1, \cdots, w_{d_2}\}$ are adapted basis for M_1, M_2 respectively with corresponding sequences $\{0 \leq r_1 \leq \cdots r_{d_1} \leq r\}$ and $\{0 \leq m_1 \leq \cdots m_{d_2} \leq m\}$, then $\{e_i \otimes w_j\}$ becomes an adapted basis for $M_1 \otimes M_2$ after maybe some reordering of the base elements.

Remark 5.13. One could try extend the above definition to any two strongly divisible modules. The issue that arises is that it is not easy to verify the property $\mathrm{Fil}^{r+m}(M_1 \otimes M_2) \cap p(M_1 \otimes M_2) = p\,\mathrm{Fil}^{r+m}(M_1 \otimes M_2)$ without the filtered free hypothesis. In this draft all the modules we are interested in will be filtered free, so we treat only this particular case.

5.4. **The dual module.** In this subsection we forget the monodromy operator N and we work in the subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,r]}$ of filtered free modules.

Caruso in [Car05] defined for a Breuil module M of weight 1, a dual module $M^\star$. His definition immediately generalizes to all filtered free modules. We review the definition here.

Definition 5.14. Let $(M, \mathrm{Fil}^r M, \phi_r)$ be a filtered-free module of weight $r \leq p-1$. Let $\{e_1, \cdots, e_d\}$ be a basis adapted for M with corresponding integer sequence $\{0 \leq r_1 \leq \cdots \leq r_d \leq r\}$. The dual module $(M^\star, \mathrm{Fil}^r M^\star, \phi_r^\star)$ is defined as follows:

(1) $M^\star = \mathrm{Hom}_S(M, S)$
(2) $\mathrm{Fil}^r(M^\star) = \{f \in M^\star : f(\mathrm{Fil}^r M) \subset \mathrm{Fil}^r S\}$

(3) $\phi_r^\star : \mathrm{Fil}^r(M^\star) \to M^\star$ maps a function $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_S(M,S)$ to the function $\phi_r^\star(f)$ which is defined on the basis $\{\phi_r(E(T)^{r_1}e_1), \cdots, \phi_r(E(T)^{r_d}e_d)\}$ by $\phi_r^\star(f)(\phi_r(E(T)^{r_i}e_i)) = \phi_r(f(E(T)^{r_i}e_i))$.

Proposition 5.15. *(prop. 2.2.5 in* [Car05]*) The functor $M \to M^\star$ induces an exact anti-equivalence on $\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,r]}$ and $(M^\star)^\star = M$.*

We will need the following linear algebra lemma, which gives a compatibility between tensor product and dual module.

Lemma 5.16. *Let M, M' be filtered-free modules of weight 1. There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,2]}}(\mathbf{1}_1[-1], M \otimes M') \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,1]}}(M^\star, M').$$

Proof. First notice that if we forget the derivation in lemma (5.7), we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,2]}}(\mathbf{1}_1[-1], M \otimes M') \simeq \{e \in \mathrm{Fil}^1(M \otimes M') : \phi_1(e) = e\}.$$

Since both M and M' are of weight 1, there exist adapted basis $\mathbf{B}^1_M = \{e_1, \cdots, e_d\}$ and $\mathbf{B}^1_{M'} = \{w_1, \cdots, w_\nu\}$ of M, M' respectively such that

$$\mathrm{Fil}^1 M = (\bigoplus_{i=1}^{d_1} Se_i) \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{i=d_1+1}^{d} \mathrm{Fil}^1 Se_i), \quad Fil^1 M' = (\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\nu_1} Sw_j) \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{j=\nu_1+1}^{\nu} \mathrm{Fil}^1 Sw_j).$$

For $1 \le i \le d$, we set $x_i = \phi_1(E(u)^{n_i}e_i)$, where $n_i = 0$, for $i \le d_1$ and $n_i = 1$ for $i > d_1$. Similarly we set $y_j = \phi_1(E(u)^{m_j}w_j)$, where $m_j = 0$, for $j \le \nu_1$ and $m_j = 1$, for $j > \nu_1$. By lemma (5.10) we get that the set $\mathbf{B}^2_M = \{x_i\}_{1 \le i \le d}$ (respectively $\mathbf{B}^2_{M'} = \{y_j\}_{1 \le j \le \nu}$) forms another basis of M (resp. M'). We will first define a map

$$F : \{e \in \mathrm{Fil}^1(M \otimes M') : \phi_1(e) = e\} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,1]}}(M^\star, M').$$

Let $e \in \mathrm{Fil}^1(M \otimes M')$. We can write e uniquely in the form $e = \sum_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} s_{ij}e_i \otimes w_j$, where $s_{ij} \in S$ and when $i > d_1$ and $j > \nu_1$ we moreover have $s_{ij} \in \mathrm{Fil}^1 S$. For such an element e we define the following homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} F_e : \quad & M^\star \longrightarrow M' \\ & f \longrightarrow F_e(f) = \sum_{i,j} s_{ij} f(e_i) w_j. \end{aligned}$$

The map F_e is clearly S-linear and it is an easy linear computation that it does not depend on the choice of basis. Moreover, we can easily verify that F_e preserves the filtration, namely $F_e(\mathrm{Fil}^1(M^\star)) \subset \mathrm{Fil}^1(M)$. For, let $f \in \mathrm{Fil}^1(M^\star)$. By definition this means that $f(\mathrm{Fil}^1 M) \subset \mathrm{Fil}^1(S)$. We rewrite $F_e(f) = \sum_{j \le \nu_1}\sum_i s_{ij}f(e_i)w_j + \sum_{j > \nu_1}\sum_i s_{ij}f(e_i)w_j$. By the choice of the base $\mathbf{B}^1_{M'}$, the first sum is clearly in $\mathrm{Fil}^1 M'$. For the second sum we notice that if $i \le d_1$, then $e_i \in \mathrm{Fil}^1 M$ and hence $f(e_i) \in \mathrm{Fil}^1 S$, while if $i > d_1$ then $s_{ij} \in \mathrm{Fil}^1 S$. So in every case we have the second sum also in $\mathrm{Fil}^1 M'$.

Claim 1: Assume in addition that $\phi_1(e) = e$. Then F_e commutes with the Frobenius.
This claim is not so obvious, so we will prove it in great detail. We need to show that for every functional $f \in M^\star$, it holds $\phi_1(F_e(f)) = F_e(\phi_1^\star(f))$. Using the basis $\mathbf{B}^2_M$ and $\mathbf{B}^2_{M'}$, we can write

e uniquely in the form $e = \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} x_i \otimes y_j$. We then compute,

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1(e) = \quad & \phi_1(\sum_{i,j} s_{ij} e_i \otimes w_j) = \sum_{j,\, i\leq d_1} \phi_1(e_i) \otimes \phi_0(s_{ij} w_j) + \\
& + \sum_{i>d_1, j\leq \nu_1} \phi_0(s_{ij} e_i) \otimes \phi_1(w_j) + \sum_{i>d_1, j>\nu_1} \phi_1(s_{ij} e_i) \otimes \phi_0(w_j) \\
= \quad & \sum_{i\leq d_1, j\leq \nu_1} \phi_1(e_i) \otimes p\phi(s_{ij}) \phi_1(w_j) + \sum_{i\leq d_1, j>\nu_1} \phi_1(e_i) \otimes \phi(s_{ij}) \frac{1}{c} \phi_1(E(u) w_j) + \\
& \sum_{i>d_1, j\leq \nu_1} \frac{1}{c} \phi(s_{ij}) \phi_1(E(u) e_i) \otimes \phi_1(w_j) + \\
& + \sum_{i>d_1, j>\nu_1} \phi_1(s_{ij}) \frac{1}{c} \phi_1(E(u) e_i) \otimes \frac{1}{c} \phi_1(E(u) w_j) \\
= \quad & \sum_{i\leq d_1, j\leq \nu_1} p\phi(s_{ij}) x_i \otimes y_j + \sum_{i\leq d_1, j>\nu_1} \frac{1}{c} \phi(s_{ij}) x_i \otimes y_j + \\
& + \sum_{i>d_1, j\leq \nu_1} \frac{1}{c} \phi(s_{ij}) x_i \otimes y_j + \sum_{i>d_1, j>\nu_1} \frac{1}{c^2} \phi_1(s_{ij}) x_i \otimes y_j.
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that the condition $\phi_1(e) = e$ yields the following equality for the coefficients

$$t_{ij} = \begin{cases} p\phi(s_{ij}),\ i \leq d_1, j \leq \nu_1 \\ c^{-1}\phi(s_{ij}),\ i \leq d_1, j > \nu_1 \text{ or } i > d_1, j \leq \nu_1 \\ c^{-2}\phi_1(s_{ij}),\ i > d_1, j > \nu_1. \end{cases} \tag{5.17}$$

Let $f \in M^\star$. To compute $F_e(\phi_1^\star(f))$ we use the basis $\{x_i \otimes y_j\}$. Namely, according to this basis we have $F_e(\phi_1^\star(f)) = \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} \phi_1^\star(f)(x_i) y_j$. Considering all different cases for i, j as before and using the table for t_{ij}, we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
F_e(\phi_1^\star(f)) = \quad & \sum_{i\leq d_1, j\leq \nu_1} p\phi(s_{ij}) \phi_1(f(e_i)) \phi_1(w_j) + \sum_{i\leq d_1, j>\nu_1} \frac{1}{c} \phi(s_{ij}) \phi_1(E(u) f(e_i)) \phi_1(E(u) w_j) + \\
& \sum_{i>d_1, j\leq \nu_1} \frac{1}{c} \phi(s_{ij}) \phi_1(f(e_i)) \phi_1(w_j) + \sum_{i>d_1, j>\nu_1} \frac{1}{c^2} \phi_1(s_{ij}) \phi_1(E(u) f(e_i)) \phi_1(E(u) w_j).
\end{aligned}$$

To compute $\phi_1(F_e(f))$ we use the basis $\{e_i \otimes w_j\}$. We have,

$$\phi_1(F_e(f)) = \quad \phi_1(\sum_{i,j} s_{ij} f(e_i) w_j) = \sum_{i,j\leq \nu_1} \phi(s_{ij} f(e_i)) \phi_1(w_j) + \sum_{i,j>\nu_1} \phi_1(s_{ij} f(e_i)) \phi_0(w_j).$$

If we break the two last sums into the usual cases, $i \leq d_1$, $i > d_1$ we can see that all the coefficients agree with the ones of $F_e(\phi_1^\star(f))$. We conclude that we can define a homomorphism

$$F: \quad \{e \in \mathrm{Fil}^1(M \otimes M') : \phi_1(e) = e\} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^\phi_{[0,1]}}(M^\star, M')$$

by sending e to F_e.

The next step is to define a map in the converse direction

$$H: \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^\phi_{[0,1]}}(M^\star, M') \longrightarrow \{e \in \mathrm{Fil}^1(M \otimes M') : \phi_1(e) = e\}.$$

For $i \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$ we consider the dual basis $\{e_i^\star\}$, where $e_i^\star : M \to S$ sends e_i to 1 and e_j to 0 for every $j \neq i$. We can easily see that this forms an adapted basis for $M^\star$ if we reverse the ordering of the basis elements. This means that the submodule $\mathrm{Fil}^1 M^\star$ has a decomposition

$$\mathrm{Fil}^1 M^\star = (\bigoplus_{i=1}^{d_1} \mathrm{Fil}^1 S e_i^\star) \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{i=d_1+1}^{d} S e_i^\star).$$

Let $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,1]}}(M^\star, M')$. For every $i = 1, \cdots, d$, we can write $f(e_i^\star) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} s_{ij} w_j$, for a unique choice of $s_{ij} \in S$. We can therefore define a map

$$\begin{aligned} H: \quad & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,1]}}(M^\star, M') \longrightarrow M \otimes M' \\ & [f : M \to M^\star] \longrightarrow e_f = \sum_{i,j} s_{ij} e_i \otimes w_j. \end{aligned}$$

Once again we can easily check that f does not depend on the choice of the basis. In particular, if we start with the basis $\mathbf{B}^2_M = \{x_i\}$ and we express in a unique way $f(x_i^\star) = \sum_j t_{ij} y_j$, then we get a second expression of $e_f = \sum_{ij} t_{ij} x_i \otimes y_j$.

First we claim that $e_f \in \mathrm{Fil}^1(M \otimes M')$. For, if either $i \leq d_1$ or $j \leq \nu_1$, then the summand $s_{ij} e_i \otimes w_j$ is clearly in $\in \mathrm{Fil}^1(M \otimes M')$. For the case $i > d_1$, notice that the function f preserves the filtration and $e_i^\star \in \mathrm{Fil}^1 M^\star$. This implies that $\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} s_{ij} w_j \in \mathrm{Fil}^1 M'$, which in turn forces $s_{ij} \in \mathrm{Fil}^1 S$, for $j > \nu_1$. We conclude that H gives a map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,1]}}(M^\star, M') \xrightarrow{H} \mathrm{Fil}^1(M \otimes M').$$

Claim 2: The element e_f is fixed by the Frobenius ϕ_1.
First we observe that for every $i \in \{1, \cdots, d\}$ we have an equality $x_i^\star = c^{-1}\phi_1^\star(E(u)^{1-n_i} e_i^\star)$. Since the function f commutes with the Frobenius, this in turn yields

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_i^\star) = \quad & c^{-1} f \phi_1^\star(E(u)^{1-n_i} e_i^\star) = c^{-1}\phi_1(f(E(u)^{1-n_i} e_i^\star)) = c^{-1}\phi_1(E(u)^{1-n_i} f(e_i^\star)) \\ = \quad & \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} c^{-1}\phi_1(E(u)^{1-n_i} s_{ij} w_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} t_{ij} y_j. \end{aligned}$$

By considering all the different cases for $i \leq d_1$, $i > d_1$, $j \leq \nu_1$ and $j > \nu_1$ and equating the coefficients, we conclude that

$$t_{ij} = \begin{cases} p\phi(s_{ij}), \ i \leq d_1, j \leq \nu_1 \\ c^{-1}\phi(s_{ij}), \ i \leq d_1, j > \nu_1 \text{ or } i > d_1, j \leq \nu_1 \\ c^{-2}\phi_1(s_{ij}), \ i > d_1, j > \nu_1, \end{cases}$$

which is exactly the same table we found before. This means exactly that $\phi_1(e_f) = e_f$, which completes the proof of the claim.

The last step is to show that F and H are inverse of each other. This is almost a tautology. To compute $H \circ F$, let $e = \sum_{i,j} s_{ij} e_i \otimes w_j$. Then to compute $H(F_e)$ we first compute $F_e(e_i^\star) = \sum_j s_{ij} w_j$, so we conclude that $H(F_e) = \sum_j s_{ij} e_i \otimes w_j = e$, as expected. The equality $(F \circ H)(f) = f$ is similar, so we omit the proof.

□

5.5. **Torsion modules.** In this section we discuss torsion Breuil modules. We will very soon focus on the special case of torsion modules which are of the form $M = \dfrac{\widetilde{M}}{p^n}$, where $n \geq 1$ is a positive integer and $\widetilde{M}$ is a strongly divisible module. For a positive integer $n \geq 1$, we denote by S_n the ring $S/p^n S$. We start with the following definition (page 7 in [Liu08]).

Definition 5.18. We consider the full subcategory $\mathrm{Mod}\,\mathrm{FI}^{\phi,N}_{[0,r]}$ of ${}'\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi,N}_{[0,r]}$, which has objects $(M, \mathrm{Fil}^r M, \phi_r, N)$ such that

(1) As an S-module M is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \dfrac{S}{p^{n_i} S}$, where I is a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ and n_i are positive integers.
(2) $\phi_r(\mathrm{Fil}^r M)$ generates M as an S-module.

We denote by $\mathrm{Mod}\,\mathrm{FI}^{\phi}_{[0,r]}$ the category which forgets N in the definition (5.18).

Let $(\widetilde{M}, \mathrm{Fil}^r \widetilde{M}, \tilde{\phi}_r, \widetilde{N})$ be a strongly divisible module of weight r. For every $n \geq 1$, $\widetilde{M}$ induces an object $(M, \mathrm{Fil}^r M, \phi_r, N)$ of $\mathrm{Mod}\,\mathrm{FI}^{\phi,N}_{[0,r]}$, by setting $M = \widetilde{M}/p^n\widetilde{M}$, $\mathrm{Fil}^r M = \mathrm{Fil}^r \widetilde{M}/p^n \mathrm{Fil}^r \widetilde{M}$ and ϕ_r, N the maps induced by $\tilde{\phi}, \widetilde{N}$.

Example 5.19. Let $n \geq 1$. We denote by $\mathbf{1}_1^n$ the weight one module $(S_n, \mathrm{Fil}^1(S_n), \phi_1, N)$. Moreover, we denote by $\mathbf{1}_1^n[-1]$ the torsion module that has S_n as the underlying S-module, $\mathrm{Fil}^1(\mathbf{1}_1^n[-1]) = S_n$, $\phi_1(1) = 1$ and $N = 0$.

Relation to finite flat group schemes. We consider the category $\mathrm{Mod}\,\mathrm{FI}^{\phi}_1$, which is the category of torsion modules of weight exactly one. It is a very important theorem of Breuil ([Bre00]) that this category is anti-equivalent to the category of commutative finite flat group schemes over the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ of K. In particular, we review the following theorem.

Theorem 5.20. *(*[Bre00]*) There is an anti-equivalence of categories between* $\mathrm{Mod}\,\mathrm{FI}^{\phi}_1$ *and the category of commutative finite flat group schemes G over $\mathcal{O}_K$ such that $G = \ker(p_G^n)$ for some $n \geq 1$ and $\ker(p_G^n)$ is flat over $\mathcal{O}_K$ for all $n \geq 1$ (p_G^n is the multiplication by p^n on G).*

We denote by Mod the functor which sends a finite flat group scheme as in theorem (5.20) to its corresponding torsion module and by Gp its weak inverse, which sends a torsion module of weight one to its corresponding group scheme.

Example 5.21. For the p^n torsion module $\mathbf{1}_1^n$ we have an isomorphism $\mathrm{Gp}(\mathbf{1}_1^n) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)_{\mathcal{O}_K}$, while for the dual module $\mathbf{1}_1^n[-1]$ we have $\mathrm{Gp}(\mathbf{1}_1^n[-1]) \simeq (\mu_{p^n})_{\mathcal{O}_K}$.

For weight one torsion modules, Caruso ([Car05]) extended the definition of a dual module. The previous example suggests that the functors Mod and Gp commute with taking dual objects. This is indeed true due to the following theorem of Caruso ([Car05], section 3).

Theorem 5.22. *(*[Car05]*) Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a commutative finite flat group scheme satisfying the assumption of theorem (5.20) and $\mathcal{G}^\star$ be the dual group scheme. There is a canonical isomorphism* $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{G}^\star) \simeq (\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{G}))^\star$.

Using this result and the analogue of lemma (5.16) for torsion modules, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5.23. *Let $\widetilde{M}$, $\widetilde{M'}$ be two strongly divisible modules of weight one. Let $n \geq 1$ be a positive integer. We consider the torsion modules $M = \dfrac{\widetilde{M}}{p^n}$ and $M' = \dfrac{\widetilde{M'}}{p^n}$. Then we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,2]}}(\mathbf{1}_1^n[-1], M \otimes M') \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathrm{Gp}(M'), \mathrm{Gp}(M)^\star).$$

Proof. The first step is to imitate lemma (5.16) and prove an isomorphism

$$F : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,2]}}(\mathbf{1}_1^n[-1], M \otimes M') \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,1]}}(M^\star, M'). \tag{5.24}$$

If we have this, then the result follows by the anti-equivalence of categories as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,2]}}(\mathbf{1}_1^n[-1], M \otimes M') &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,1]}}(M^\star, M') \\
&\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathrm{Gp}(M'), \mathrm{Gp}(M^\star)) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathrm{Gp}(M'), \mathrm{Gp}(M)^\star).
\end{aligned}$$

To prove the isomorphism 5.23, we can reproduce the proof of lemma 5.16 in the torsion setting. For example the hard step was to show that for a section $e \in \mathrm{Fil}^1(M \otimes M')$ with $\phi_1(e) = e$, the morphism $F_e : M^\star \to M'$ commutes with the Frobenius. Since $\mathrm{Fil}^1 M = \mathrm{Fil}^1 \widetilde{M}/p^n \mathrm{Fil}^1 \widetilde{M}$, we may assume there is an element $\tilde{e} \in \mathrm{Fil}^1 \widetilde{M}$ whose reduction modulo p^n coincides with e. Then the proof for the strongly divisible module case carries over, with only difference that if we have two expressions for e as follows, $e = \sum_{i,j} s_{ij} e_i \otimes w_j$ and $e = \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} x_i \otimes y_j$ for some $s_{ij}, t_{ij} \in S$, then $t_{ij} - s_{ij}$ is equal to the table 5.17 modulo p^n.

□

6. Integral Crystalline cohomology

In this section we want to pass from syntomic cohomology to crystalline. The main reference for this section is [Fal99]. For a smooth scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, we will denote these groups by $H^i_{Br}(\mathfrak{X}/S)$, where $S = W[[u]]^{PD}$ is as in section 5. We will soon focus on the case of an abelian scheme.

The groups $H^i_{Br}(\mathfrak{X}/S)$ can be computed in the derived category using de Rham complexes as follows. Suppose a global embedding $\mathfrak{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ exists, where $\mathcal{Z}$ is smooth over $\mathrm{Spec}(S)$. We consider the de Rham complex

$$\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{X}\subset\mathcal{Z}} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}\subset\mathcal{Z}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Z}} \Omega^\bullet_{\mathcal{Z}/S},$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}\subset\mathcal{Z}}$ is the completed PD-envelop of $\mathfrak{X}$ in $\mathcal{Z}$. Then we have an isomorphism

$$H^i_{Br}(\mathfrak{X}/S) \simeq H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{et}, \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{X}\subset\mathcal{Z}}).$$

For $r \leq p-1$ we consider the complex $\mathbb{J}^{[r]}_{\mathfrak{X}\subset\mathcal{Z}} := \mathcal{J}^{[r-\bullet]}_{\mathfrak{X}\subset\mathcal{Z}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Z}} \Omega^\bullet_{\mathcal{Z}/S}$, where $\mathcal{J}^{[1]}_{\mathfrak{X}\subset\mathcal{Z}}$ is the p-adic completion of the ideal generated by the kernel of the surjection $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Z} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{X}$. We denote by $\phi^S : \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}\subset\mathcal{Z}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}\subset\mathcal{Z}}$ the Frobenius endomorphism. (We use the superscript S to distinguish from the absolute case considered in section 3, when we were working over W).

If we reduce the complex $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{X}\subset\mathcal{Z}}$ modulo the augmentation ideal $\mathrm{Fil}^1 S$ of the ring S (this means we consider the derived tensor product with $S/\mathrm{Fil}^1 S$), we obtain the Hodge cohomology groups, $H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{et}, \mathcal{O}^{cris}_{\mathfrak{X}/S} \otimes \Omega^\bullet_{\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_K})$, of $\mathfrak{X}$. We make the following assumption on $\mathfrak{X}$.

Assumption 6.1. The Hodge cohomology groups of $\mathfrak{X}$ are torsion free over $\mathcal{O}_K$ and the Hodge spectral sequence degenerates.

If $\mathfrak{X}$ is smooth proper over $\mathcal{O}_K$ and the assumption (6.1) is satisfied, then the following properties are known for the crystalline cohomology groups ([Fal99], theorem 1).

(1) For $0 \leq i \leq r \leq p-2$, the quadruple $(H^i_{Br}(\mathfrak{X}/S), H^i(\mathfrak{X}_{et}, \mathbb{J}^{[r]}_{\mathfrak{X}\subset Z_1}, \phi_r, N)$ defines a strongly divisible module over S of weight r (see remark 6.3 for the definition of the derivation N).

(2) If we reduce modulo p^n, for $n \geq 1$, we obtain an isomorphism

$$H^i_{Br}(\mathfrak{X}/S)/p^n \simeq H^i(\mathfrak{X}_n, \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{X}\subset Z_1} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n) := H^i_{Br}(\mathfrak{X}_n/S_n).$$

Convention 6.2. Let $i < p-1$. From now on we identify the crystalline cohomology group $H^i_{Br}(\mathfrak{X}/S)$ with the Breuil module $(H^i_{Br}(\mathfrak{X}/S), \mathrm{Fil}^i H^i_{Br}(\mathfrak{X}/S), \phi_i, N) \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\phi,N}_{[0,i]}$.

Remark 6.3. We briefly describe how the derivation N is obtained. For simplicity we assume that a global embedding $\mathfrak{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ exists. There is a short exact sequence of complexes,

$$0 \to \Omega^{\bullet-1}_{\mathcal{Z}/S} \otimes \Omega^1_{S/W} \to \Omega^\bullet_{\mathcal{Z}/W} \to \Omega^\bullet_{\mathcal{Z}/S} \to 0.$$

It is well known that $\Omega^1_{S/W} \simeq S\dfrac{du}{u}$. The above sequence induces a connecting homomorphism

$$H^i_{Br}(\mathfrak{X}/S) \to H^{i+1}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}\subset\mathcal{Z}}\Omega^{\bullet-1}_{\mathcal{Z}/S} \otimes_S \Omega^1_{S/W}) \simeq H^i_{Br}(\mathfrak{X}/S) \otimes_S \frac{du}{u}.$$

This map gives a connection ∇ and hence a derivation N with the required properties.

6.1. **The crystalline cohomology of an abelian scheme.** From now on we focus on the case when $\mathcal{A}$ is an abelian scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. We note that the assumption (6.1) is satisfied (this fact is stated in the introduction of [Fal99]). But in this case we can in fact say more about the structure of the groups $H^i_{Br}(\mathcal{A}/S)$.

Computations for H^1. We first consider the weight one torsion module $H^1_{Br}(\mathcal{A}_n/S_n)$. The following proposition highlights the relation between crystalline cohomology and finite flat group schemes associated to p-divisible groups.

Proposition 6.4. *For every $n \geq 1$, there is a canonical isomorphism of Breuil modules*

$$H^1_{Br}(\mathcal{A}_n/S_n) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{A}[p^n]).$$

Proof. Let $H = \varinjlim \mathcal{A}[p^n]$ be the p-divisible group over $\mathcal{O}_K$ associated to the abelian scheme $\mathcal{A}$. By [Bre00], theorem 4.2.2.9, there is an associated strongly divisible module, defined as,

$$M(H) = (\varprojlim \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{A}[p^n]), \varprojlim \mathrm{Fil}^1 \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{A}[p^n]), \varprojlim \phi_1).$$

On the other hand, Faltings in section 6 of [Fal99] associates another strongly divisible module $M'(H)$ to the p-divisible group H. We claim that there is a canonical isomorphism $M(H) \simeq M'(H)$. This follows by the isomorphism, $T^\star_{st}(M(H)) \simeq T^\star_{st}(M'(H))$, of the corresponding Galois representations. In fact, both are isomorphic to the Tate module $T_p(H)$ of H. The isomorphism $T^\star_{st}(M(H)) \simeq T_p(H)$ is proved in lemma 5.3.1 of [Bre00], while $T^\star_{st}(M'(H)) \simeq T_p(H)$ is proved in theorem 7 of [Fal99]. Notice that the functor $T^\star_{st}$ becomes fully faithful when restricted to the filtered free modules ([Fal99] theorem 5).

Next we consider the strongly divisible module $H^1_{Br}(\mathcal{A}/S)$. Faltings showed ([Fal99], page 132) that the Galois representations $T^\star_{st}(H)$ and $T^\star_{st}(H^1_{Br}(\mathcal{A}/S))$ coincide. We therefore obtain an isomorphism of strongly divisible modules

$$H^1_{Br}(\mathcal{A}/S) \simeq (\varprojlim \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{A}[p^n]), \varprojlim \mathrm{Fil}^1 \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{A}[p^n]), \varprojlim \phi_1).$$

The next step is to go modulo p^n. For the module $M(H)$ of the right hand side, it follows from proposition 4.2.1.2 of [Bre00], that $M(H)/p^n \simeq \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{A}[p^n])$. Finally, for the module on the left we have an isomorphism $H^1_{Br}(\mathcal{A}/S)/p^n \simeq H^1_{Br}(\mathcal{A}_n/S_n)$, as mentioned in the beginning of this section.

Notice that in the above discussion we did not include the connection ∇. The reason is that for weight one modules we have a full faithful embedding $\mathrm{Mod}_1^{\phi,N} \subset \mathrm{Mod}_1^{\phi}$. In particular, the monodromy operator N of the weight one module $M = H^1_{Br}(\mathcal{A}_n/S_n)$ has the unique property $N(M) \subset \sum_{i\geq 1} \frac{u^i}{q(i)!} M$ ([Bre00], prop. 5.1.3). According to the remark in [Bre02] that follows lemma 3.2.1, every map of filtered modules whose monodromy operator N satisfies the above property commutes with the monodromy, as long as it commutes with the Frobenius and therefore the above isomorphism is an isomorphism in $\mathrm{Mod}_1^{\phi,N}$.

□

Computations for H^2. Next we consider the Breuil module $H^2_{Br}(\mathcal{A}/S)$. We want to express it in terms of H^1. By the deformation theory of abelian varieties (section 7 in [Fal99]), there exists a smooth abelian scheme $\mathcal{Z}$ over S and an embedding $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ such that we have a fiber product square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(S). \end{array}$$

Since the definition of $H^i_{Br}(\mathcal{A}/S)$ does not depend on the embedding, the square yields an isomorphism of Breuil modules

$$H^i_{Br}(\mathcal{A}/S) \simeq H^i_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S) = H^i(\mathcal{Z}_{et}, \Omega^\bullet_{\mathcal{Z}/S}).$$

Moreover, the Hodge cohomology of $\mathcal{A}$ coincides with the de Rham cohomology $H^i_{dR}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_K)$. We have a natural S-linear map $\rho : \bigwedge^2 H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S) \to H^2_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)$. We claim that this is a morphism of Breuil modules. Notice that the filtration on $\bigwedge^2 H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)$ is defined as follows.

- $\mathrm{Fil}^1(\bigwedge^2 H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S))$ is the image in $\bigwedge^2 H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)$ of the module
$$\mathrm{Fil}^1(H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)) \otimes H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S) + H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S) \otimes \mathrm{Fil}^1(H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)),$$
where $\mathrm{Fil}^1(H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S) = H^1(\mathcal{Z}_{et}, (\mathrm{Fil}^1 S) \cdot \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1_{\mathcal{Z}/S} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^2_{\mathcal{Z}/S} \longrightarrow \cdots)$.
- $\mathrm{Fil}^2(\bigwedge^2 H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)) = \bigwedge^2 \mathrm{Fil}^1(H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S))$.

On the other hand, the filtration on $H^2_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)$ is defined as follows.

- $\mathrm{Fil}^1(H^2_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)) = H^2(\mathcal{Z}_{et}, \mathbb{J}^{[1]}_{\mathcal{Z}/S})$, where $\mathbb{J}^{[1]}_{\mathcal{Z}/S}$ is the complex

$$(\mathrm{Fil}^1 S)\cdot\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1_{\mathcal{Z}/S} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^2_{\mathcal{Z}/S} \longrightarrow \cdots .$$

- $\mathrm{Fil}^2(H^2_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)) = H^2(\mathcal{Z}_{et}, \mathbb{J}^{[2]}_{\mathcal{Z}/S})$, where $\mathbb{J}^{[1]}_{\mathcal{Z}/S}$ is the complex

$$(\mathrm{Fil}^2 S)\cdot\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} \xrightarrow{d} (\mathrm{Fil}^1 S)\cdot\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}} \Omega^1_{\mathcal{Z}/S} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^2_{\mathcal{Z}/S} \longrightarrow \cdots .$$

It is clear that $\rho(\mathrm{Fil}^i(\bigwedge^2 H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S))) \subset \mathrm{Fil}^i H^2_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)$, for $i = 1, 2$. Moreover, ρ commutes with the Frobenius map, since ϕ_2 on $\Omega^2_{\mathcal{Z}/S}$ is defined as $\phi_1 \wedge \phi_1$. It is also clear that ρ commutes with the connection ∇. We conclude that ρ is a map of Breuil modules.

Theorem 6.5. *The map $\rho : \bigwedge^2 H^1_{Br}(\mathcal{A}/S) \longrightarrow H^2_{Br}(\mathcal{A}/S)$ is an isomorphism in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi,N}_{[0,2]}$.*

Proof. It suffices to show the following two claims.

(i) ρ is an isomorphism on the underlying S-modules.

(ii) ρ induces isomorphisms on the associated graded pieces. Namely $gr^0(\bigwedge^2 H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S))) \simeq gr^0 H^2_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)$ and $gr^1(\bigwedge^2 H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S))) \simeq gr^1 H^2_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)$.

Step 1: We show that $\rho : \bigwedge^2 H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S))) \to H^2_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)$ is an isomorphism of S-modules. The proof of this statement is similar to the proof that the étale cohomology of an abelian variety over an algebraically closed field k with $T_l(A)$ coefficients is isomorphic to the exterior algebra on H^1. For the details of the argument, we refer to [Mil08], section 12. Here we briefly sketch the argument. We first prove that ρ becomes an isomorphism after $\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p$. We set $H^\star(\mathcal{Z}) := \bigoplus_i H^i_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p$. Since $\mathcal{Z}$ is an abelian scheme, there is a comultiplication map $m : \mathcal{Z}\times\mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{Z}$, which induces a map on cohomology $m^\star : H^\star(\mathcal{Z}) \to H^\star(\mathcal{Z}\times\mathcal{Z}) \simeq H^\star(\mathcal{Z}\otimes\mathcal{Z})$. Then we can see that lemma 12.2 of [Mil08] applies here for $d = \dim\mathcal{Z}$ and hence we get the required isomorphism. We conclude that the original ρ is injective.

To prove surjectivity, we first reduce modulo the augmentation ideal $\mathrm{Fil}^1 S$. We obtain the de Rham cohomology groups $H^\star_{dR}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_K)$. Since these groups are torsion free, it is a property of tensor products that tensoring with $\mathbb{Z}/p$ commutes with the cohomology groups,

$$H^\star_{dR}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_K)\otimes\mathbb{Z}/p \simeq H^\star(\Omega^\star_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{O}_K}\otimes\mathbb{Z}/p).$$

For the latter groups, we can apply lemma 12.2 of [Mil08] one more time, and hence we conclude that the map ρ becomes an isomorphism modulo the maximal ideal of S. The surjectivity of ρ then follows by the Nakayama lemma.

Step 2: We show that ρ induces an isomorphism on gr^0.
We start by computing $gr^0(H^2_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)) = H^2_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)/\mathrm{Fil}^1 H^2_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)$. We consider the short exact sequence of complexes $0 \to \mathbb{J}^{[1]}_{\mathcal{Z}/S} \to \Omega^\star_{\mathcal{Z}/S} \to \mathbb{H} \to 0$, where $\mathbb{H}$ is the complex $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}/\mathrm{Fil}^1(S) \to 0 \to 0$. We therefore obtain a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H^2(\mathcal{Z}_{et}, \mathbb{J}^{[1]}_{\mathcal{Z}/S})) \to H^2(\mathcal{Z}_{et}, \Omega^\star_{\mathcal{Z}/S})) \to H^2(\mathcal{Z}_{et}, \mathbb{H}) \to \cdots$$

Notice that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}/\mathrm{Fil}^1(S) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$. We already know by the Breuil module structure on $H^i_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)$ that the maps $H^i(\mathcal{Z}_{et}, \mathbb{J}^{[1]}_{\mathcal{Z}/S})) \to H^i(\mathcal{Z}_{et}, \Omega^\star_{\mathcal{Z}/S}))$ are injective for $i = 2, 3$, hence we conclude that $gr^0(H^2_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)) \simeq H^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}})$. On the other hand, we can very easily see that $gr^0(\bigwedge^2 H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)) \simeq \bigwedge^2 gr^0 H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)$ and by a very similar computation of complexes as above, we conclude that $gr^0(\bigwedge^2 H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)) \simeq \bigwedge^2 H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}})$.

Note that it suffices to prove that the natural map $\bigwedge^2 H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}) \to H^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is injective. For, it is automatically surjective, since it is induced from the filtration preserving surjective map ρ. To prove injectivity, we proceed as in step 1. It suffices to prove injectivity after $\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p$, and then the result follows by the structure of tensor algebra on $H^\star(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}})$ (since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a Hopf algebra, the same argument as in step 1 applies).

Step 3: We show that ρ is an isomorphism on gr^1. This is the most involved part of the proof. First, we compute $gr^1(H^2_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)) = \mathrm{Fil}^1 H^2_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)/\mathrm{Fil}^2 H^2_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)$. We consider the short exact sequence of complexes $0 \to \mathbb{J}^{[2]}_{\mathcal{Z}/S} \to \mathbb{J}^{[1]}_{\mathcal{Z}/S} \to \mathbb{H}' \to 0$, where $\mathbb{H}'$ is the complex, $(\mathrm{Fil}^1 S/\mathrm{Fil}^2 S)\cdot\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}} \Omega^1_{\mathcal{Z}/S} \to 0$. We need to understand the differential d. A section of $(\mathrm{Fil}^1 S/\mathrm{Fil}^2 S)\cdot\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is of the form $E(u)f$, for some function $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Then $d(E(u)f) = fE'(u)du + E(u)df = fE'(u)du \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}} \Omega^1_{\mathcal{Z}/S}$. Thus, the map d is just multiplication by $E'(u)$ (notice that $\mathrm{Fil}^1 S/\mathrm{Fil}^2 S \simeq S/\mathrm{Fil}^1 S$, where the inverse map is given by multiplication by $E(u)$). Imitating the proof of step 2, we conclude that

$$gr^1(H^2_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)) \simeq H^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\cdot E'(u)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}} \Omega^1_{\mathcal{Z}/S} \to 0).$$

We move on to compute the cohomology of this double complex. Let $0 \to I^\bullet$ and $0 \to J^\bullet$ be injective resolutions of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}} \Omega^1_{\mathcal{Z}/S}$ respectively. The map d induces chain maps $\Gamma(\mathcal{Z}, I^n) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}, J^n)$, which are all given by multiplication by $E'(u)$. The complex $\mathbb{H}'$ on degree n is given by

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{Z}, I^n) \oplus \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}, J^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}, I^{n+1}) \oplus \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}, J^n),$$

where $\partial(x, y) = (dx, xE'(u)du - dy)$. Therefore, $H^2(\mathbb{H}')$ consists of classes of pairs $[x, y] \in \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}, I^2) \oplus \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}, J^1)$ such that the following two properties hold.

- $dx = 0$
- $dy = xE'(u)du$

and the equivalence relation is described by the image of $\Gamma(\mathcal{Z}, I^1) \oplus \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}, J^0)$.

Next we consider the associated graded $gr^1(\bigwedge^2 H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S))$. As in step 2, it suffices to show that ρ maps this group injectively into $gr^1(H^2_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S))$. We want to describe a set of basis elements of this group. We start by choosing an adapted basis $\{e_i\}_{1\le i\le d}$ of $H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)$. We assume that $e_i \in \mathrm{Fil}^1 H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)$ for $i \le d_1$ and $e_i \notin \mathrm{Fil}^1 H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)$, for $i > d_1$. Then $gr^1(\bigwedge^2 H^2_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S))$ is freely generated by the image of the following two families, $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{e_i \wedge e_j : i \le d_1,\ j > d_1\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 = \{E(u)e_k \wedge e_j : j > k > d_1\}$.

The first observation is that the image of the elements $e_k \wedge e_j$ for $j > k > d_1$ in $\bigwedge^2 gr^0 H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)$ form an $\mathcal{O}_K$-basis of $\bigwedge^2 gr^0 H^1_{dR}(\mathcal{Z}/S)$ which by step 2 is isomorphic to $H^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}})$. We conclude that $\mathcal{B}_2$ is an $\mathcal{O}_K$-basis of $E(u)\cdot H^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}})$.

Next, we observe that we have an injection $E(u)\cdot H^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}) \hookrightarrow H^2(\mathbb{H}')$ given by $x \to [x, 0]$.

Similarly we have an injection $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}} \Omega^1_{\mathcal{Z}/S}) \hookrightarrow H^2(\mathbb{H}')$ given by $y \to [0, y]$. With a similar computation as above we can show that $\mathcal{B}_1$ forms an $\mathcal{O}_K$-basis of $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}} \Omega^1_{\mathcal{Z}/S})$, which concludes the proof.

□

6.2. **From syntomic to crystalline.** The last ingredient we need in order to finish the proof of theorem 2.3 is a map $H^2(\mathcal{A}_{syn}, \mu_{p^n}) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,2]}}(\mathbf{1}_n[-1], H^2_{Br}(\mathcal{A}_n/S_n))$.

We consider again the embedding $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$, where $\mathcal{Z}$ is an abelian scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(S)$. Notice that we have a map of complexes $\mathbb{J}^{[1]}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{Z}} \xrightarrow{1-\phi_1^S} \mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{Z}}$. We consider the kernel,

$$S_n(1)^{Br} = \ker(1-\phi_1^S) = \mathrm{Cone}(\mathbb{J}^{[1]}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{Z}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n \xrightarrow{1-\phi_1^S} \mathbb{O}_{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{Z}} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n)[-1].$$

We can now restate the goal of this subsection. We want to show the existence of a map $H^2(\mathcal{A}_{syn}, \mu_{p^n}) \to H^2(\mathcal{A}_{et}, S_n(1)^{Br})$. We need a bridge through the crystalline-syntomic site, which is defined as follows. For every $n \ge 1$, we have embeddings $\mathcal{A}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_n$, where $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}\times\mathbb{Z}/p$ and $\mathcal{Z}_n = \mathcal{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}/p^n$. The small crystalline-syntomic site $(\mathcal{A}_1/S_n)_{crys-syn}$ has objects quadruples (U, T, i, δ), where $U \to \mathcal{A}_1$ is a syntomic map, $U \xhookrightarrow{i} T$ is a closed immersion that fits into

a commutative diagram, $\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{i} & T \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{A}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z}_n, \end{array}$, and δ is a structure of an ideal of divided powers corresponding to the closed immersion i and compatible with the PD-structure on $\mathcal{A}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_n$. For simplicity we will write the objects as pairs (U,T). There are two natural structure sheaves on this site, namely $\mathcal{O}_{n,crys}$, given by $\mathcal{O}_{n,crys}(U,T) := \mathcal{O}(T)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_n}$, given by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_n}(U,T) := \mathcal{O}(U)$. We have a surjection $\mathcal{O}_{n,crys} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_n}$ with kernel $\mathcal{J}_n$, a sheaf of PD-ideals. Similarly to the above situation, we have the syntomic-crystalline sheaf, $S_n(1)^{crys-syn} := \ker(\mathcal{J}_n \xrightarrow{1-\phi_1} \mathcal{O}_{n,crys})$. As in the syntomic situation considered in section 4, it is known that there is an isomorphism $H^2(\mathcal{A}_{et}, S_n(1)^{Br}) \simeq H^2(\mathcal{A}_{crys-syn}, S_n(1)^{crys-syn})$.

It suffices therefore to show the existence of a map $H^2(\mathcal{A}_{syn}, \mu_{p^n}) \to H^2(\mathcal{A}_{et}, S_n(1)^{Br})$. To do this, we imitate the argument from Fontaine-Messing ([FM87], page 199), namely we construct a map of crystalline-syntomic sheaves $\mu_{p^n} \to S_n(1)^{crys-syn}$ using the logarithm. We briefly sketch the argument here.

The exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{J}_n \to \mathcal{O}_{n,crys} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_n} \to 0$ induces a short exact sequence of crystalline-syntomic sheaves, $0 \to 1 + \mathcal{J}_n \to \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{n,crys} \to \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\mathcal{A}_n} \to 0$, where $1 + \mathcal{J}_n$ is considered as a group under multiplication. Using the logarithm, we obtain an isomorphism $1 + \mathcal{J}_n \simeq \mathcal{J}_n$. The boundary map for the above sequence gives a map in the derived category $\mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\mathcal{A}_n} \to \mathcal{J}_n[1]$. One can show that this map factors through $\mathrm{Cone}(\mathcal{J}_n \to \mathcal{O}_{n,crys})$. Next, the Kummer sequence $0 \to \mu_{p^n} \to \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\mathcal{A}_n} \to \mathcal{O}^{\times}_{\mathcal{A}_n} \to 0$ is short exact on $\mathcal{A}_{crys-syn}$. We therefore obtain a map in the derived category $\mu_{p^n} \to \mathrm{Cone}(\mathcal{J}_n \to \mathcal{O}_{n,crys})[-1] = S_n(1)^{crys-syn}$. In fact, the argument of Fontaine-Messing applies almost verbatim in order to explicitly construct this map on the level of sheaves.

To conclude the argument, we consider the natural inclusion of topologies $\mathcal{A}_{syn} \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{crys-syn}$, that sends a syntomic covering $\{U_i \to \mathcal{A}\}$ to (U_i, T_i), where T_i is the trivial PD-thickening. This induces a map on cohomology $H^i(\mathcal{A}_{syn}, \mu_{p^n}) \to H^i(\mathcal{A}_{crys-syn}, \mu_{p^n})$. Here we identified the syntomic sheaf μ_{p^n} with the pullback $\nu^{\star}(\mu_{p^n})$ of the crystalline-syntomic μ_{p^n}.

Remark 6.6. We can in fact show that the map just obtained factors through the group $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi,N}_{[0,2]}}(\mathbf{1}_n[-1], H^2_{Br}(\mathcal{A}_n/S_n))$. Although we won't need this fact, we note that the map,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi,N}_{[0,2]}}(\mathbf{1}_1[-1]^n, H^2_{Br}(\mathcal{A}_n/S_n)) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,2]}}(\mathbf{1}_1[-1]^n, H^2_{Br}(\mathcal{A}_n/S_n)),$$

obtained by the forgetful functor is in fact an isomorphism. For, by theorem 6.5 we have an isomorphism of torsion Breuil modules $H^2_{Br}(\mathcal{A}_n/S_n) \simeq \bigwedge^2 H^1_{Br}(\mathcal{A}_n/S_n)$. The unique property of the monodromy N on $H^1_{Br}(\mathcal{A}_n/S_n)$ mentioned in the proof of proposition 6.4 passes to the tensor product $M \otimes M$ and hence to $H^2_{Br}(\mathcal{A}/S)$. The monodromy on the weight one module $\mathbf{1}^n_1[-1]$ clearly has the same property and therefore the forgetful map is an isomorphism.

7. Proof of the main Theorem

In this section we complete the proof of theorem 2.3. We first need the following definition.

Symmetric Homomorphisms. Let M be a filtered free module. We consider the module $\bigwedge^2 M$. Since 2 is invertible in S, we can think of $\bigwedge^2 M$ as a direct summand of $M \otimes M$. For, the map $\alpha \wedge \beta \to \dfrac{\alpha \otimes \beta - \beta \otimes \alpha}{2}$ gives a splitting $\bigwedge^2 M \hookrightarrow M \otimes M$. We have a similar splitting for the torsion module $M \otimes M = \tilde{M}/p^n \otimes \tilde{M}/p^n$, where $\tilde{M}$ is a strongly divisible module of weight one. We give the following definition.

Definition 7.1. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a finite flat group scheme over $\mathcal{O}_K$ such that p^n annihilates $\mathcal{G}$. A continuous homomorphism $f : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}^{\star}$ of finite flat groups schemes over $\mathcal{O}_K$ is called symmetric if the corresponding morphism of Breuil modules, $\mathbf{1}^n_1[-1] \to (\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{G}))^{\otimes 2}$, obtained by lemma 5.23, factors through $\bigwedge^2 \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{G})$. Equivalently, $f : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}^{\star}$ is symmetric if and only if $f^{\star} = -f$,

where $f^\star$ is the dual homomorphism. We will denote the subgroup of such homomorphisms by $Sym\mathcal{H}om_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G}^\star)$.

Remark 7.2. We note that if $\mathcal{A}$ is an abelian scheme and $f:\mathcal{A}[p^n]\to\mathcal{A}^\star[p^n]$ is a symmetric homomorphism, then the corresponding map of the generic fibers $f:A[p^n]\to A^\star[p^n]$ induces a G_K-homomorphism $\bigwedge^2 A[p^n]\to\mu_{p^n}$. For, the isomorphism $A^\star[p^n]\simeq\mathrm{Hom}(A[p^n],\mu_{p^n})$ is obtained via the Weil pairing, which is antisymmetric. If for example J is the Jacobian variety of a smooth complete curve over K (and hence self dual), the identity map $J[p^n]\to J[p^n]$ is symmetric in the above sense. This is the reason we chose the name symmetric.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of definition 7.1.

Corollary 7.3. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a finite flat group scheme over $\mathcal{O}_K$ such that p^n annihilates $\mathcal{G}$. There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$Sym\mathcal{H}om_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G}^\star)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,2]}}(\mathbf{1}_1^n[-1],\bigwedge^2\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{G})).$$

7.1. **Completion of the proof.**

Proof. (of theorem 2.3).
The first step is to refine corollary 4.4 and express the orthogonal complement of the image of the cycle map in terms of homomorphisms of finite flat group schemes.
Step 1: We show that the orthogonal complement under the Tate duality pairing $(\star\star)$ of the image of the cycle map $c_{p^n}:T(A)\longrightarrow H^2(K,\bigwedge^2 A[p^n])$ is the image of the injection

$$Sym\mathcal{H}om_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n],\mathcal{A}^\star[p^n])\hookrightarrow\mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(\bigwedge^2 A[p^n],\mu_{p^n}).$$

Notice that because of proposition 2.6, it suffices to show that the orthogonal complement in question is a subset of $Sym\mathcal{H}om_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n],\mathcal{A}^\star[p^n])$.

By corollary 4.4 we know that this orthogonal complement is the image of the composition

$$H^2_{syn}(\mathcal{A},\mu_{p^n})\to H^2(A,\mu_{p^n})\to\mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(\bigwedge^2 A[p^n],\mu_{p^n}).$$

It therefore suffices to show that this map factors through $Sym\mathcal{H}om_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n],\mathcal{A}^\star[p^n])$. We have a map $H^2_{syn}(\mathcal{A},\mu_{p^n})\to\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi,N}_{[0,2]}}(\mathbf{1}_1[-1]^n,H^2_{Br}(\mathcal{A}_n/S_n))$ (see subsection 6.2 and remark 6.6). Next, by theorem 6.5 and corollary 7.3 we have an isomorphism,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}^{\phi}_{[0,2]}}(\mathbf{1}_1[-1]^n,H^2_{Br}(\mathcal{A}_n/S_n))\simeq Sym\mathcal{H}om_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n],\mathcal{A}^\star[p^n]).$$

By the way we constructed the maps, the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^2_{syn}(\mathcal{A},\mu_{p^n}) & \longrightarrow & Sym\mathcal{H}om_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n],\mathcal{A}^\star[p^n]) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^2(A,\mu_{p^n}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(\bigwedge^2 A[p^n],\mu_{p^n}), \end{array}$$

which completes the first step.

Step 2: We pass to the symbolic part $H^2_s(K,A[p^n]\otimes B[p^n])$ by considering the product $A\times B$. For the abelian variety $X=A\times B$, step 1 gives us the orthogonal complement under the pairing $(\star\star)$ of $\mathrm{Im}[S_2(K;A\times B)\xrightarrow{s_{p^n}}H^2(K,\bigwedge^2(A\times B)[p^n])]$.

For abelian varieties $A_1,\cdots,A_r$ over K, the Somekawa K-group $K(K;A_1,\cdots,A_r)$ is known to satisfy covariant fucntoriality ([Som90], remark before theorem 1.4). This functoriality yields a decomposition

$$K(K;A\times B,A\times B)\simeq K(K;A,A)\oplus K(K;A,B)\oplus K(K;B,B).$$

If we divide with the action of the symmetric group in two variables, we get a decomposition

$$S(K;A\times B,A\times B)\simeq S(K;A,A)\oplus K(K;A,B)\oplus S(K;B,B).$$

On the other hand, we have an isomorphism of G_K-modules

$$\bigwedge^2(A\times B)[p^n]\simeq \bigwedge^2 A[p^n]\oplus A[p^n]\otimes B[p^n]\oplus \bigwedge^2 B[p^n].$$

We have a similar decomposition of the group $Sym\mathcal{H}om_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}((\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{B})[p^n],(\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{B})^\star[p^n])$. The theorem then follows, after we observe that under the map s_{p^n}, the direct summands $S(K;A,A)$ and $S(K;B,B)$ map to $H^2(K,\bigwedge^2 A[p^n])$ and $H^2(K,\bigwedge^2 B[p^n])$ respectively, for which we know the orthogonal complements by step 1, leaving the piece $K(K;A,B)\xrightarrow{s_{p^n}} H^2(K,A[p^n]\otimes B[p^n])$, whose orthogonal complement has to be $\mathcal{H}om_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n],\mathcal{B}^\star[p^n])$.

□

7.2. **Triviality of the Galois symbol when the ramification index is small.** In the next section we will provide specific examples where the Galois symbol is non trivial. On the other extreme, we have the following vanishing result, which is a direct consequence of theorem 2.3 combined with a theorem of Raynaud.

Corollary 7.4. *Suppose that $p>3$. Let e be the ramification index of K and assume that $e<p-1$. For abelian varieties A,B over K with good reduction, the Galois symbol $K(K;A,B)\xrightarrow{s_{p^n}} H^2(K,A[p^n]\otimes B[p^n])$ vanishes.*

Proof. Raynaud ([Ray74]) proved that when $e<p-1$, the faithful functor $\mathcal{G}\to\mathcal{G}\times_{\mathcal{O}_K}K$ that sends a finite flat group scheme over $\mathcal{O}_K$ to its generic fiber is also full. Therefore, we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{H}om_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n],\mathcal{B}^\star[p^n])\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(A[p^n],B^\star[p^n])$ and the corollary follows directly from theorem 2.3.

□

7.3. **Passing to the limit.** In this subsection we want to discuss the limit behavior of the Galois symbol. Let us first assume that A,B are any abelian varieties over K, not necessarily of good reduction. First, for positive integers $n,m\geq 1$ it is easy to show that we have a commutative diagram as follows,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s_{mn}: K(K;A,B) & \longrightarrow & H^2(K,A[mn]\otimes B[mn]) \\ & \searrow^{s_m} & \downarrow n \\ & & H^2(K,A[m]\otimes B[m]). \end{array}$$

Therefore, we obtain a symbol map $K(K;A,B)\to \varprojlim H^2(K,A[m]\otimes B[m])$, where the limit extends through all positive integers m.

On the other hand, the Tate duality pairing $(\star)$ after passing to the limit becomes,

$$(\star')\ \varprojlim H^2(K,A[m]\otimes B[m])\times \varinjlim \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(A[m],B^\star[m])\to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that the pairing $(\star')$ is not necessarily perfect anymore. In particular, if the group $\varprojlim H^2(K,A[m]\otimes B[m])$ has torsion, then its torsion lies in the left kernel of the pairing.

Lemma 7.5. *Let $T(A),T(B^\star)$ be the total Tate modules of $A,B^\star$ respectively. We have a canonical isomorphism $\varinjlim \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(A[m],B^\star[m])\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(T(A),T(B))$.*

Proof. First we can write $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(A[m],B^\star[m])\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(A[m]\otimes B[m],\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1))$. Next we show that $\varinjlim$ commutes with G_K-invariants. This follows easily by the fact that the morphisms $A[m]\xrightarrow{\cdot} A[mn]$ are injections and $\varinjlim$ is exact. The lemma will thus follow, if we show an isomorphism $\varinjlim \mathrm{Hom}(A[m]\otimes B[m],\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1))\simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\varprojlim(A[m]\otimes B[m]),\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1))$. But the latter is true, since $\varprojlim(A[m]\otimes B[m])\simeq T(A)\otimes T(B)\simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\oplus 2d_1}\otimes\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\oplus 2d_2}$ and we know that $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\vee\simeq\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

□

Corollary 7.6. *Let A, B be abelian varieties over K with good reduction. The image of the Galois symbol $K(K; A, B) \to \varprojlim H^2(K, A[m] \otimes B[m])$ lies on the left kernel of the pairing*

$$(\star') \ \varprojlim H^2(K, A[m] \otimes B[m]) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(T(A), T(B^\star)) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular, it is p-torsion.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}_A = \varinjlim \mathcal{A}[p^n]$ be the p-divisible group corresponding to the Néron model $\mathcal{A}$ of A, and similarly $\mathcal{H}_{B^\star}$. By the theorem of Tate ([Tat67]) we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(T_p(A), T_p(B^\star) \simeq \mathcal{H}om_{pdiv}(\mathcal{H}_A, \mathcal{H}_B^\star).$$

Recall that for m coprime to p the Galois symbol s_m is trivial. The corollary then follows by this isomorphism and by theorem 2.3.

□

8. Applications: Examples of non trivial Galois symbol

We finish this draft by describing examples of abelian varieties A, B of specific reduction type such that the symbolic part, $H^2_s(K, A[p^n] \otimes B[p^n])$, is non trivial. We do not exhaust all possible cases, but rather we focus on generalizing cases that have been previously considered by other authors ([MR09], [HH13]). Our method is to describe more explicitly the inclusion

$$\mathcal{H}om_{ffgps/\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n], \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n]) \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(A[p^n], B^\star[p^n]),$$

using the decomposition of a finite flat group scheme into connected and étale components. This method can apply to many more cases. The main philosophy is that when at least one of the finite flat group schemes $\mathcal{A}[p^n], \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n]$ has non trivial étale quotient, this inclusion is very often strict when the base field K is large enough.

In this section we will write for simplicity $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n], \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n])$ to denote the group of continuous homomorphisms of finite flat group schemes over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

Connected and étale components. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a finite flat group scheme over $\mathcal{O}_K$. It is well known (see for example [Tat67]) that there is a short exact sequence of finite flat group schemes over $\mathcal{O}_K$,

$$0 \to \mathcal{G}^\circ \to \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}^{et} \to 0,$$

where $\mathcal{G}^\circ$ is the connected component of the zero element of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{et}$ is the étale quotient.

Notation 8.1. If $G = \mathcal{G} \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$ is the generic fiber of $\mathcal{G}$, we will use the notation $G^\circ := \mathcal{G}^\circ \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$ and $G^{et} := \mathcal{G}^{et} \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$.

8.1. **The ordinary reduction Case.** In this section we consider abelian varieties A, B over K of good ordinary reduction. We first review the definition of this type of reduction.

Definition 8.2. Let A be an abelian variety over K of dimension d. We say that A has good ordinary reduction if it has good reduction and the special fiber $\overline{A} := \mathcal{A} \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} k$ is an ordinary abelian variety over the residue field k. This means that the group $\overline{A}[p^n](\overline{k})$ is the largest possible, namely there is an isomorphism $\overline{A}[p^n](\overline{k}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^{\oplus d}$.

The following lemma describes the structure of the finite flat group scheme $\mathcal{A}[p^n]$, at least in the case when $\mathcal{A}[p^n]$ is self dual (which is true for example when A is the Jacobian variety of a smooth complete curve over K).

Lemma 8.3. *Assume A is of dimension d and has good ordinary reduction. Then the connected and étale components of $\mathcal{A}[p^n]$ are both non trivial. Assume additionally that the finite flat group scheme $\mathcal{A}[p^n]$ is self dual. In this case, there is a finite unramified extension L/K such that $\mathcal{A}[p^n]^\circ_{\mathcal{O}_L} \simeq (\mu_{p^n}^{\oplus d})_{\mathcal{O}_L}$ and $\mathcal{A}[p^n]^{et}_{\mathcal{O}_L} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^{\oplus d}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$.*

Proof. The lemma follows by reduction to the residue field. Since $\overline{A}[p^n](\overline{k}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^{\oplus d}$, the group scheme $\mathcal{A}[p^n]$ cannot be neither connected nor étale. Moreover, we can consider a finite unramified extension L over K such that all the points of $\overline{A}[p^n]$ become l-rational, where l is the residue field of L. This yields an isomorphism of finite flat group schemes over $\mathcal{O}_L$, $\mathcal{A}[p^n]^{et}_{\mathcal{O}_L} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^{\oplus d}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$. Since we assumed that $\mathcal{A}[p^n]$ is self dual, the claim for the connected component follows by Cartier duality.

□

Proposition 8.4. *Let A, B be abelian varieties over K with good ordinary reduction of dimensions d_1, d_2 respectively. Assume that both $\mathcal{A}[p^n]$ and $\mathcal{B}[p^n]$ are self dual. The image of the inclusion $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n], \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n]) \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(A[p^n], B^\star[p^n])$ is precisely the subgroup*

$$H := \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(A[p^n], B^\star[p^n]) : f(A[p^n]^\circ) \subset B^\star[p^n]^\circ\}.$$

Proof. The inclusion $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n], \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n]) \subset H$ follows from the more general fact that there are no non trivial homomorphisms from a connected to an étale group scheme over $\mathcal{O}_K$. It suffices to show the other inclusion after a base change to a finite extension L of K. For, by finite flat descent we obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n], \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n]) \simeq (\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_L}(\mathcal{A}[p^n] \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n] \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_L)^{\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)}.$$

We may therefore assume that we are in the set up of lemma 8.3 and we have short exact sequences of finite flat group schemes over $\mathcal{O}_K$, $0 \to \mathcal{A}[p^n]^\circ \to \mathcal{A}[p^n] \to \mathcal{A}[p^n]^{et} \to 0$ with $\mathcal{A}[p^n]^\circ \simeq (\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus d_1}_{\mathcal{O}_K}$ and $\mathcal{A}[p^n]^{et} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^{\oplus d_1}_{\mathcal{O}_K}$ and similarly for $\mathcal{B}^\star[p^n]$. Next, we can easily see by counting dimensions that we have a short exact sequence of G_K-modules

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(A[p^n]^{et}, B^\star[p^n]) \to \widetilde{H} \to \mathrm{Hom}(A[p^n]^\circ, B^\star[p^n]^\circ) \to 0,$$

where $\widetilde{H} = \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}(A[p^n], B^\star[p^n]) : f(A[p^n]^\circ) \subset B^\star[p^n]^\circ\}$.

Claim: There is a short exact sequence of flat sheaves over $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$,

$$0 \to \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}}(\mathcal{A}[p^n]^{et}, \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n]) \to \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}}(\mathcal{A}[p^n], \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n]) \to \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}}(\mathcal{A}[p^n]^\circ, \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n]^\circ) \to 0.$$

To prove the claim we apply the left exact functor $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}}(-, \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n])$ to the short exact sequence of finite flat sheaves over $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{K}}$, $0 \to \mathcal{A}^\star[p^n]^\circ \to \mathcal{A}^\star[p^n] \to \mathcal{A}^\star[p^n]^{et} \to 0$. Notice that the latter sequence splits. For, there is finite extension $F \supset K$ such that $A[p^n] \subset A(F)$. Hence, over $\mathcal{O}_F$ we have a splitting $A[p^n] \simeq A[p^n]^\circ \oplus A[p^n]^{et}$. Since $A[p^n]^{et} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^{\oplus d_1}$, the splitting $A[p^n]^{et} \hookrightarrow A[p^n]$ corresponds to d_1 points of $A[p^n](F)$. By the Néron model property we get corresponding sections of $\mathcal{A}[p^n](\mathcal{O}_F)$ and hence there is a splitting of finite flat group schemes over $\mathcal{O}_F$, $\mathcal{A}[p^n] \simeq \mathcal{A}[p^n]^{et} \oplus \mathcal{A}[p^n]^\circ$.

The two short exact sequences from above induce long exact sequences as follows,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n]^{et}, \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n]) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n], \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n]) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n]^\circ, \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n]^\circ) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varepsilon} & & \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(A[p^n]^{et}, B^\star[p^n]) & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(A[p^n]^\circ, B[p^n]^\circ) & \longrightarrow & \dots
\end{array}$$

The next piece of the diagram is the map

$$H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{O}_K, \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{A}[p^n]^{et}, \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n])) \xrightarrow{\eta} H^1(K, \mathrm{Hom}(A[p^n]^{et}, B^\star[p^n])).$$

The maps $\beta, \gamma, \varepsilon$ are obtained by restriction to the generic fiber, so they are all inclusions. We claim that β and ε are in fact isomorphisms. For, we have isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(A[p^n]^{et}, B^\star[p^n]) \simeq \bigoplus_{d_1} \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n, B^\star[p^n]) \simeq \bigoplus_{d_1} B^\star[p^n](K)$$

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n]^{et}, \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n]) \simeq \bigoplus_{d_1} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n, \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n]) \simeq \bigoplus_{d_1} \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n](\mathcal{O}_K).$$

The last two groups are isomorphic by the Néron model property, and therefore β is an isomorphism. The claim for ε follows similarly by using Cartier duality. We have therefore reduced the problem to showing an inclusion

$$H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{O}_K, \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{A}[p^n]^{et}, \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n])) \overset{\eta}{\hookrightarrow} H^1(K, \mathrm{Hom}(A[p^n]^{et}, B^\star[p^n])).$$

We will in fact show the following more general claim.

Claim: Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a finite flat commutative group scheme over $\mathcal{O}_K$ and let $D = \mathcal{D} \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$ be its generic fiber. We have an inclusion $H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{O}_K, \mathcal{D}) \hookrightarrow H^1_{et}(K, D)$.

For, Let m be the smallest positive integer that annihilates $\mathcal{D}$. We can identify $H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{O}_K, \mathcal{D})$ with the group $\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_K}((\mathbb{Z}/m)_{\mathcal{O}_K}, \mathcal{D})$ of equivalence classes of extensions of finite flat group schemes over $\mathcal{O}_K$. Let $0 \to \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{E} \to (\mathbb{Z}/m)_{\mathcal{O}_K} \to 0$ be such an extension. Let $E = \mathcal{E} \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$ be its generic fiber and assume that $[E] = 0 \in H^1_{fl}(K, D) = H^1_{et}(K, D)$. This means that we have a section $s : \mathbb{Z}/m \hookrightarrow E$. This section corresponds to a K-rational point, $s \in E(K)$. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is proper, by the valuative criterion for properness we obtain an isomorphism $E(K) \simeq \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and hence the section s descends to a section $\widetilde{s} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. We conclude that $[\mathcal{E}] = 0 \in H^1_{fl}(\mathcal{O}_K, \mathcal{D})$. □

The following corollary generalizes the results of Murre and Ramakrishnan ([MR09]) and Hiranouchi and Hirayama ([HH13]) to higher dimensional abelian varieties.

Corollary 8.5. *Let A, B be abelian varieties over K with good ordinary reduction. Assume that all the p^n torsion points of A and B are K-rational. Then the symbolic subgroup $H^2_s(K, A[p^n] \otimes B[p^n])$ of $H^2(K, A[p^n] \otimes B[p^n])$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^{d_1 d_2}$.*

Proof. By the semi-simplicity of the G_K action on $A[p^n]$, $B[p^n]$, we get an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(A[p^n], B^\star[p^n]) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^{4d_1 d_2},$$

while the group H of proposition 8.4 is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^{3d_1 d_2}$. The corollary then follows by theorem 2.3.

□

Remark 8.6. Proposition 8.4 combined with theorem 2.3 yield an isomorphism,

$$H^2_s(K, A[p^n] \otimes B[p^n]) \simeq \mathrm{Im}(H^2(K, A^\circ[p^n] \otimes B^\circ[p^n]) \to H^2(K, A[p^n] \otimes B[p^n])).$$

The inclusion ($\subset$) follows easily by the fact that the image of the Galois symbol and the group $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n], \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n])$ annihilate each other under the Tate duality pairing.

One can prove the inclusion ($\supset$) directly, without the use of p-adic Hodge theory. This is closer to the approach used by previous authors. Namely, one can show that the image of the Galois symbol covers all of $H^2(K, A^\circ[p^n] \otimes B^\circ[p^n])$ by using the following fact. If L/K is a finite extension such that $A[p^n]^\circ \simeq \mu_{p^n}^{\oplus d_1}$ and $B[p^n]^\circ \simeq \mu_{p^n}^{\oplus d_2}$, then the cup product

$$H^1_{fl}(O_L, A[p^n]^\circ) \otimes H^1_{fl}(O_L, B[p^n]^\circ) \to H^2(L, \bigoplus_{d_1 d_2} \mu_{p^n} \otimes \mu_{p^n})$$

is identified with some copies of the Hilbert symbol map

$$O_L^\times/(O_L^\times)^{p^n} \otimes O_L^\times/(O_L^\times)^{p^n} \to H^2(L, \mu_{p^n} \otimes \mu_{p^n})$$

which is known to be surjective by local class field theory. This computation is unconditional on the prime p, which makes us believe that theorem 2.3 should be true even for small primes.

8.2. **Ordinary and Supersingular.** We next consider the case when A has ordinary reduction, while $B^\star$ has supersingular reduction.

We note that $B^\star$ having supersingular reduction means that its reduction $\overline{B}^\star$ to the residue field k has the property $\overline{B}^\star[p^n](\overline{k}) = 0$, for every $n \geq 1$. In particular, the finite flat group scheme $\mathcal{B}^\star[p^n]$ is connected. (This follows by the classification of the simple finite flat group schemes over k). We next give the analogue of proposition 8.4 for this case.

Proposition 8.7. *Let A, B be abelian varieties over K of dimensions d_1, d_2 respectively. We assume that both $\mathcal{A}[p^n], \mathcal{B}[p^n]$ are self dual, A has good ordinary reduction and B has good supersingular reduction. If the base field K is large enough so that we are in the set up of lemma 8.3, then we have canonical isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n], \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n]) \simeq \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n]^{et}, \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n]) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(A[p^n]^{et}, B^\star[p^n]).$$

Proof. First we show the equality $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n], \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n]) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{A}[p^n]^{et}, \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n])$. It suffices to show that if $f : \mathcal{A}[p^n]^\circ \to \mathcal{B}^\star[p^n]$ is a homomorphism over $\mathcal{O}_K$, then $f = 0$. Indeed, if f is non trivial, the dual homomorphism $f^\star : \mathcal{B}[p^n] \to \mathcal{A}[p^n]^{et}$ will also be non trivial. This is a contradiction, since $\mathcal{B}[p^n]$ is connected, while $\mathcal{A}[p^n]^{et}$ is étale.

The second isomorphism was already shown as part of the proof of proposition 8.4.

□

We close this section with the following generalization of the computation in [HH13].

Corollary 8.8. *Assume we are in the set up of proposition 8.7. Moreover, assume that all the p^n-torsion points of A, B are K-rational. Then the symbolic subgroup $H^2_s(K, A[p^n] \otimes B[p^n])$ of $H^2(K, A[p^n] \otimes B[p^n])$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^{2d_1 d_2}$.*

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of corollary 8.5. □

Remark 8.9. Similarly to the remark 8.6, one can prove theorem 2.3 directly in this case imitating the explicit Hilbert symbol computations of S. Bloch in [Blo81] (pg 247).

References

[BK90] Spencer Bloch and Kazuya Kato, *L-functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. I, Progr. Math., vol. 86, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 333–400. MR 1086888

[Blo81] Spencer Bloch, *Algebraic K-theory and classfield theory for arithmetic surfaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **114** (1981), no. 2, 229–265. MR 632840

[Bre99] Christophe Breuil, *Représentations semi-stables et modules fortement divisibles*, Invent. Math. **136** (1999), no. 1, 89–122. MR 1681105

[Bre00] ———, *Groupes p-divisibles, groupes finis et modules filtrés*, Ann. of Math. (2) **152** (2000), no. 2, 489–549. MR 1804530

[Bre02] ———, *Integral p-adic Hodge theory*, Algebraic geometry 2000, Azumino (Hotaka), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 36, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2002, pp. 51–80. MR 1971512

[Car05] X. Caruso, *Dualit\'{e} de Cartier et modules de Breuil*, ArXiv Mathematics e-prints (2005).

[CTS96] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and Shuji Saito, *Zéro-cycles sur les variétés p-adiques et groupe de Brauer*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1996), no. 4, 151–160. MR 1385140

[EN16] V. Ertl and W. Niziol, *Syntomic cohomology and p-adic motivic cohomology*, ArXiv e-prints (2016).

[Fal99] Gerd Faltings, *Integral crystalline cohomology over very ramified valuation rings*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), no. 1, 117–144. MR 1618483 (99e:14022)

[FM87] Jean-Marc Fontaine and William Messing, *p-adic periods and p-adic étale cohomology*, Current trends in arithmetical algebraic geometry (Arcata, Calif., 1985), Contemp. Math., vol. 67, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 179–207. MR 902593

[Gaz15] Evangelia Gazaki, *On a filtration of CH_0 for an abelian variety*, Compos. Math. **151** (2015), no. 3, 435–460. MR 3320568

[Gro68] A. Grothendieck, *Dix exposés de la cohomologie des schemas*, 1968.

[HH13] Toshiro Hiranouchi and Seiji Hirayama, *On the cycle map for products of elliptic curves over a p-adic field*, Acta Arith. **157** (2013), no. 2, 101–118. MR 3007290

[Hir12] T. Hiranouchi, *Milnor K-groups attached to elliptic curves over a p-adic field*, ArXiv e-prints (2012).

[Kat80] Kazuya Kato, *A generalization of local class field theory by using K-groups. II*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **27** (1980), no. 3, 603–683. MR 603953

[Kat87] ———, *On p-adic vanishing cycles (application of ideas of Fontaine-Messing)*, Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 207–251. MR 946241

[Kat91] ———, *The explicit reciprocity law and the cohomology of Fontaine-Messing*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **119** (1991), no. 4, 397–441. MR 1136845

[KY13] Bruno Kahn and Takao Yamazaki, *Voevodsky's motives and Weil reciprocity*, Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), no. 14, 2751–2796. MR 3127813

[Liu08] Tong Liu, *On lattices in semi-stable representations: a proof of a conjecture of Breuil*, Compos. Math. **144** (2008), no. 1, 61–88. MR 2388556

[Mil08] James S. Milne, *Abelian varieties (v2.00)*, 2008, Available at www.jmilne.org/math/, pp. 166+vi.
[MR09] Jacob Murre and Dinakar Ramakrishnan, *Local Galois symbols on $E \times E$*, Motives and algebraic cycles, Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 56, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 257–291. MR 2562462 (2011d:11137)
[MS82] A. S. Merkur′ev and A. A. Suslin, *K-cohomology of Severi-Brauer varieties and the norm residue homomorphism*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **46** (1982), no. 5, 1011–1046, 1135–1136. MR 675529
[Ray74] Michel Raynaud, *Schémas en groupes de type $(p, \dots, p)$*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **102** (1974), 241–280. MR 0419467
[RS00] Wayne Raskind and Michael Spiess, *Milnor K-groups and zero-cycles on products of curves over p-adic fields*, Compositio Math. **121** (2000), no. 1, 1–33. MR 1753108 (2002b:14007)
[Sai89] Shuji Saito, *A global duality theorem for varieties over global fields*, Algebraic K-theory: connections with geometry and topology (Lake Louise, AB, 1987), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 279, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1989, pp. 425–444. MR 1045856
[Som90] M. Somekawa, *On Milnor K-groups attached to semi-abelian varieties*, K-Theory **4** (1990), no. 2, 105–119. MR 1081654 (91k:11052)
[SS14] Shuji Saito and Kanetomo Sato, *Zero-cycles on varieties over p-adic fields and Brauer groups*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **47** (2014), no. 3, 505–537. MR 3239097
[Tat67] J. T. Tate, *p-divisible groups*, Proc. Conf. Local Fields (Driebergen, 1966), Springer, Berlin, 1967, pp. 158–183. MR 0231827
[Yam05] Takao Yamazaki, *On Chow and Brauer groups of a product of Mumford curves*, Math. Ann. **333** (2005), no. 3, 549–567. MR 2198799 (2006m:14005)

E-mail address: gazaki@umich.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, 530 Church Street, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109